1 (Pages 1 to 4)

			1 (Pages 1 to 4)
	Page 1		Page 3
		1	Coatlaman CaD
STATE OF ILLI	NOIS	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Castleman, ScD
	Γ OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	2	BARRY I. CASTLEMAN, ScD, called as a
COUNTY OF M	CLEAN	3	witness, having been first duly sworn by
	X	4	Androniki Samaras, a Notary Public within and for
JANET SHIPLEY and JAN	MES SHIPLEY,	5	the State of New York, was examined and testified
		6	as follows:
Plaintiffs,	Case No.	7	EXAMINATION
_	0-L-38	8	BY MR. FISCHER:
VS.		9	Q. Dr. Castleman, my name is
PNEUMO ABEX CORPO	RATION, et al	10	Matt Fischer. I'm going to start today.
	,	11	Mr. Modesitt asked me to begin, so I'm going to
Defendants.		12	do that. I represent Owens-Illinois, you
		13	probably remember.
	RRY CASTLEMAN, ScD, taken by	14	Could you tell me, have you done
Defendants at the offices of			
2010, commencing at 12:04	w York, on Tuesday, September 28,	15	any new research with regards to Owens-Illinois
	notype) Reporter and Notary	16	in the last year?
Public within and for the S		17	A. No.
		18	Q. Have you done any new reading
		19	involving Owens-Illinois in the last year?
		20	A. Nothing comes to mind.
		21	Q. Have you refreshed yourself about
		22	any Owens-Illinois documents in any way over the
		23	course of the last year?
		24	A. I don't think since the last time
		25	we were in trial I've done that.
	Page 2		Page 4
	1 450 2		
1 2 APPEARANCES:		1	Castleman, ScD
3 JAMES WALKER, LTD.		2	Q. That would, by my count, go back to
Attorney for Plaintiffs 4 207 West Jefferson Str	reet Suite 200	3	about June or July?
Bloomington, Illinois		4	A. Sounds about right.
5 BY: JAMES WALKER,	Fea	5	Q. So let me just push it back for
6 B1. JAMES WALKER,	Esq.	6	calendar year 2010, have you done anything new
7	D MODERITE WILLIAMON	7	in any way to refresh yourself in any way about
8 & DRUMMY, LLP	R, MODESITT, WILKINSON	8	Owens-Illinois during that period of time?
Attorneys for Defenda	nt Pneumo Abex, LLC	9	A. Nothing I could think of, except at
9 333 Ohio Street Terre Haute, Indiana 4	7807	10	trial.
10		11	Q. In what way did the trial cause you
BY: RAYMOND H. MO	DDESITT, Esq.	12	to refresh yourself about OI?
12		13	A. Probably not much. I mean, we
SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP Attorneys for Defendar	nt Owens-Illinois	14	basically go over very few documents relating to
6600 Sears Tower		15	OI in these conspiracy trials.
14 Chicago, Illinois 60600			
15 BY: MATTHEW J. FISC 16	AILK, LSQ.	16	Q. Have you gone over with any lawyers
17 BOYLE BRASHER, LLC		17	any documents involving Owens-Illinois that were
Attorneys for Defenda 18 Illinois Central Railro		18	not discussed at the trial in June?
5000 West Main Street		19	A. I don't think so.
P.O. Box 23560 Belleville, Illinois 622	23-0560	20	MR. WALKER: Excuse me. I
20		21	would say this, Matt, that in a
BY: MARK R. KURZ, E	Esq.	22	couple in our conversation before
22		23	the dep, I told Dr. Castleman that I
23		24	have located some documents which I
24 25		25	would call the Illinois
		_	

			2 (Pages 3 to 8)
	Page 5		Page 7
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	Manufacturers' Association	2	24?
3	documents, which in which OI	3	MR. WALKER: Exhibit No. 824.
4	appears to have been a member and OI	4	BY MR. FISCHER:
5	people have done things, but I have	5	Q. Has Mr. Walker asked you to look at
6	not shown those documents to him.	6	any other documents during the course of your
7	MR. FISCHER: Okay.	7	work in this case?
8	Q. Why don't we do this this way,	8	A. No.
9	Dr. Castleman: Mr. Walker has asked you to be a	9	Q. All right. And you heard
10	witness in the Shipley case; is that your	10	Mr. Walker explain that he had had a
11	understanding?	11	conversation with you about the Illinois
12	A. Right.	12	Manufacturers' Association; is that right?
13	Q. And it has been some time, at least	13	A. Yes.
14	since I have taken your deposition in the case,	14	Q. What do you know, Dr. Castleman,
15	in which Mr. Walker represented the plaintiffs.	15	about the Illinois Manufacturers' Association?
16	Is there anything that Mr. Walker	16	A. Well, there were there were
17	has brought to your attention that he would like	17	lawsuits, there were civil lawsuits and workers'
18	you to focus on in the course of your work in	18	compensation claims for people who had
19	this case?	19	asbestosis and silicosis in the mid-'30s in
20	A. As to OI, it's not anything in	20	Illinois.
21	particular, no.	21	And as I understand it, the civil
22	Q. And now let me expand it out beyond	22	courts and the workers' compensation agencies
23	Owens-Illinois. Is there anything that	23	rejected the claims under the terms of laws in
24	Mr. Walker brought to your attention that he	24	which they operated, and this provoked the
25	would like you to be thinking about during the	25	legislature in Illinois to revisit and revise
	Page 6	;	Page 8
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	course of your retention in this matter?	$\frac{1}{2}$	the legislation relating to workers'
3	MR. WALKER: Without saying I	3	compensation and perhaps in some ways, more
4	asked Barry to think about anything,	4	generally, prevention of occupational diseases.
5	I just alerted him to the fact that	5	And that was in 1936.
6	I have made an exhibit of the	6	Q. Do you know when asbestosis was
7	September 16, 1959 JM Board of	7	first recognized as a compensable disease under
8	Directors meeting agenda, and that I	8	the workers' compensation scheme in the state of
9	was going to give him a copy at the	9	Illinois?
10	deposition, which I'm now giving it	10	A. 1936, I believe.
11	to him (handing).	11	Q. And do you have any familiarity
12	THE WITNESS: (Perusing	12	with the Illinois Occupational Disease Act as
13	document.)	13	distinct from the Workers' Compensation Act?
14	Q. Okay. I see well,	14	A. No, I don't have a clear
15	Dr. Castleman, could you confirm that Mr. Walker	15	distinction in mind.
16	has, in fact, given you the September 16, 1959	16	Q. Do you know if the Illinois
17	Board of Director meeting minutes of the Johns	17	Manufacturers' Association had any role in this
18	Manville Corporation?	18	course of events in the 1930s that led to
19	A. He has.	19	legislation involving occupational disease
20	MR. WALKER: And also, that	20	claims?
21	it's the same Exhibit, 824, that I	21	A. My impression is they had a
	used the other day at pretrial	22	substantial role that the affected industry
22	· · ·		•
22 23	hearings.	23	was worked through the Illinois
22	· · ·		•

Page 9 Page 11 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD in some way involved. 2 challenge and negotiate something that would be 2 least damaging to their business activities. Q. Involved with John Crane? 3 3 4 Q. I'm sorry, say that last part 4 A. Involved with Illinois 5 5 Manufacturers' Association in connection with again. A. Least challenging to their business 6 this legislation. 6 7 activities, least expensive. 7 Q. So the lists that you saw back in 8 Q. Would it be fair to say that it is 2007, you believe at least relate to the same 8 your impression that the Illinois Manufacturing legislation in the 1930s? 9 9 10 {sic} Association was involved somehow in 10 A. Right. lobbying or participating in the legislative 11 Q. Are those lists in your possession? 11 process with regard to the development of that A. No. I mean, I have them, but I 12 12 don't have them with me. They're part of a 13 legislation? 13 John Crane file, probably part of the Crane Co. A. Yes. 14 14 15 Q. Do you know whether the Illinois 15 file. Manufacturing Association played any other role Q. From what source did you obtain 16 16 in connection with that legislation? 17 17 those lists? A. No. I think lobbying -- lobbying 18 18 A. I don't remember. It was just, I is a broad term, but I think that takes it in. think, one or two documents. And it might have 19 19 been Bobby Hatten, it might have been somebody 20 Q. Now, you mentioned that it was your 20 impression that industrialists had worked 21 else, another plaintiff's attorney. 21 through the Illinois Manufacturing Association. 22 Q. Done Johns Manville appear on any 22 23 Who do you mean to include in the 23 of those lists? 24 term "industrialists"? 24 A. I don't remember seeing Johns Manville on the list that -- I think it's only 25 A. Well, I've seen lists of groups of 25 Page 10 Page 12 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD one list, and it was mostly company doctors, I people that were involved, including Crane 2 2 Company. And Mr. Walker tells me that Johns 3 3 believe. Manville and Owens-Illinois were also involved 4 4 Q. Does Owens-Illinois appear on that 5 in some of the documented activities of this 5 list? 6 group. 6 A. I don't remember. 7 7 O. Now, you also mentioned that Johns The lists that you have seen of the 8 groups of people involved, when did you see 8 Manville was somehow involved in these efforts 9 those lists? 9 in the 1930s, and that was information that you 10 A. Well, in connection with the case, 10 obtained from Mr. Walker, I take it, that's oh, I can -- about 2007, I think. It was a case information you obtained today? 11 11 12 involving John Crane as a defendant. And I may 12 A. Just now, yes, verbally. have seen it earlier, but there were --Q. Could you tell me everything that 13 13 you understand about Johns Manville's 14 John Crane claims to have lost all their 14 15 documents historically about asbestos. And so 15 involvement in this legislation in Illinois in there are questions about what they could have the 1930s? 16 16 17 known or should have known based on things like 17 A. I understand that Johns Manville's 18 what was going on in the state of Illinois in 18 chief lawyer, Vandiver Brown, was one of the 19 the 1930s. 19 participants, one of the corporate 20 And so that's where I think I saw 20 representatives involved in this 21 21 manufacturing -- manufacturers' committee. some of these documents and focused on them. I MR. WALKER: Off the record. 22 22 don't recall, there weren't many documents. 23 23 They simply reflect that there were various MR. FISCHER: Sure. committees, including, I think, a medical 24 24 (Discussion off the record.) committee of corporate representatives who were 25

	D 12		Day 15
	Page 13		Page 15
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	BY MR. FISCHER:	2	Q. I know that you're always kind
3	Q. Do you have any information about	3	enough to make that offer, but I really find
4	what Mr. Brown's actual involvement was?	4	that we get mixed up a little bit when we try to
5	A. No.	5	do two things at once.
6	Q. Do I understand it correctly that	6	A. Okay. It's reference 82 on page
7	when you say he was a participant, you mean to	7	207, or at least it's noted as a source of one
8	say that he apparently was well, what do you	8	of the abstracts I cite.
9	mean by that?	9	It's called "The Pneumokonioses
10	A. Well, I gather that his name	10	(Silicosis), Literature and Laws," Book III, or
11	appears on some of the documents as somebody who	11	there are three such books. The first author is
12	is involved as a corporate representative in	12	G.G. Davis, Chicago Medical Press, 1937. Right
13	this connection. That would make sense.	13	here (indicating).
14	They had a plant in Waukegan,	14	Q. Have you ever seen, Doctor, an
15	Illinois, Johns Manville did. They had workers'	15	actual copy of the publication entitled "The
16	compensation claims and lawsuits over asbestosis	16	Pneumoconioses"
17	by the mid-1930s coming out of this plant.	17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And when you say "this plant," you	18	Q "Literature and Laws," Book III?
19	mean the Waukegan plant, right?	19	A. Yes, I have.
20	A. Right.	20	Q. Okay. Do you recall by chance
21	Q. Have you seen any of the documents	21	where you may have seen it?
22	to which you just referred?	22	A. No.
23	A. No, not the ones naming Mr. Brown.	23	Q. Do you have a copy of it?
24	Q. Have you seen other than this	24	A. No.
25	one list that you believe is in your John Crane	25	Q. You had originally said that you
	Page 14		Page 16
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	file, have you seen any documents relating to	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	thought it was "Pneumokonioses" with a "k." It
3	legislation in Illinois in the 1930s related to	$\frac{2}{3}$	looks like that one is with a "c."
4	occupational disease?	4	Do you think there is a different
5	A. Well, I've seen it mentioned in	5	publication called "The Pneumokonioses," with a
6	other places. There was a three-volume tome	6	"k"?
7	written called "The Pneumokoniosis," with a "k,"	7	A. It's the same publication. This
8	published between 1934 and 1937 by some doctors	8	could be a typo, or I could be remembering it
9	in Illinois. And they went through an	9	wrong, it's been a long time.
10	interesting collection of writings, insurance	10	Q. I take it that that publication
11	industry publications, for example, periodicals.	11	does not specifically relate to the legislation
12	And a lot of the stuff that they compiled had to	12	or whatever efforts were made in advance of the
13	do with asbestosis and silicosis and the law	13	legislation to submit it to the Illinois
14	that was being developed, or at least some of	14	legislature; is that right?
15	the law changes that were being discussed in	15	A. It's not clear. I think it
16	Illinois in the mid-1930s.	16	because it is such a singular type of
17	Q. And I see that you're paging	17	publication and the time when it came out is so
18	through your book there. Are you looking for a	18	perfect, really, if it was connected with this
19	citation perhaps?	19	legislation, that would be just perfect as far
20	A. Just wondering if I could remember	20	as timing. And
21	where I cited that thing in the book. It's	21	Q. Let me ask it this way
22	probably under "compensation." Let's take a	22	A. And it looks like something that
23	look. (Perusing book.)	23	would have been commissioned by insurance and
24	You can go on with your questions	24	industrial interests. That's just my
25	while I do this.	25	impression, but it's not something that I can

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

Page 17 Page 19 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD support with any kind of historical 2 leading figure as an attorney trying to minimize 2 documentation or accounting of how this 3 the financial impact of occupational disease 3 claims on American industry in the 1930s. 4 4 three-volume thing came to be commissioned and 5 5 Q. And I mean to ask you a very written. specific question, Doctor, what is your 6 Q. Okay. So it's fair to say, as you 6 understanding as to A.C. Hirth's involvement 7 sit here now, you don't know whether or not 7 8 8 there were, as you say, insurance or industrial with the Illinois Manufacturers' Association? interests urging the publication of this volume? 9 9 A. I don't know anything about it. I 10 A. Right. 10 just understand from Mr. Walker that he was 11 Q. Any other publications or documents 11 involved in some way, maybe like with Mr. Brown that you have seen that you believe are related 12 12 being listed on some committee. But having not in any way to the legislation in Illinois in the 13 13 seen the documentation, I can't really tell you 1930s that we've been discussing? 14 14 any more. 15 A. Nothing else comes to mind. 15 Q. You said that you believe that Q. Any other materials or documents 16 Mr. Hirth was interested in minimizing the 16 that you're aware of but which you have not seen financial impact of dust disease on industry? 17 17 18 that would relate to the legislation in Illinois Did I understand that correctly? 18 in the 1930s relating to occupational disease 19 A. Right. 19 Q. I take it -- well, let me ask you 20 that we've been discussing? 20 21 A. Only what Mr. Walker told me about 21 this: Are you aware of any writings of 22 Mr. Hirth in which he says that that is his this morning. 22 23 Q. And are there any other documents 23 interest? or publications that Mr. Walker told you about 24 24 A. Well, some presentations he did at that we haven't talked about yet today? different groups. Let me see, what had I seen? 25 25 Page 18 Page 20 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD 2 I haven't actually seen what he said at the 2 A. No. It was a brief conversation. formation meeting of the Industrial Hygiene 3 I got the impression he's doing some rummaging 3 Foundation, but it is alluded to in the notes of 4 through historical papers relating to the 4 Vandiver Brown, the meeting on January 15, 1935 5 legislation and what was going on in the 1930s 5 6 6 with this Illinois Manufacturer -in Pittsburgh. 7 Manufacturers' Association, and I look forward 7 Q. I'm sorry, January what? 8 to seeing them. 8 A. I may have seen other speeches by A.C. Hirth, but I can't recall. It seems like 9 Q. You mentioned that Mr. Walker had 9 I've seen one somewhere, but I can't tell you 10 also told you that Owens-Illinois was somehow 10 involved with the Illinois Manufacturers' 11 what it was or what it said. 11 12 Association, right? 12 Q. Give me that date again, the Brown 13 A. That's what he told me. 13 notes of the meeting of January --A. January 15, 1935, which really 14 Q. What is your understanding of 14 15 Owens-Illinois' involvement? 15 started the whole process of forming the Industrial Hygiene Foundation. I write about A. I think he mentioned A.C. Hirth, 16 16 17 H-I-R-T-H, as being involved. Hirth was a --17 that in Chapter 3. 18 what do you call him, outhouse counsel? He was Q. As you sit here now, other than 18 an outside attorney employed by Owens-Illinois Vandiver Brown's notes, have you seen anything 19 19 20 and was prominent in the formation of the 20 that would indicate or that would include industrial hygiene foundation and represented 21 writings or comments of Mr. Hirth? 21 22 22 Owens-Illinois on the Board of Industrial A. Nothing else comes to mind. 23 23 Hygiene Foundation for many years. And I O. What -- if you know, did the Illinois Manufacturers' Association urge the believe he -- or at least I understand that he 24 24 was involved, that would also figure he was a passage of legislation in Illinois in the 1930s, 25

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

	D 21		D 22
	Page 21		Page 23
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	or were they opposed to the passage of	2	any part of the three-volume set that you
3	legislation?	3	believe covers these issues?
4	A. I don't know exactly what their	4	A. No.
5	position was. I my impression is that they	5	Q. And I think
6	realized that there would have there would be	6	MR. WALKER: If I can
7	some kind of legislation and wanted to assure	7	interrupt, Mr. Fischer.
8	that legislation was least damaging to their	8	MR. FISCHER: Mm-hm.
9	business activities.	9	MR. WALKER: Before or during
10	Q. And what do you base that on? Let	10	Dr. Castleman's direct examination,
11	me break that up into pieces, I'm sorry.	11	I will be providing him with a copy
12	What do you base your opinion on	12	of Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 603, the
13	that they knew that there would, in fact, be	13	1935 speech by Hirth, which he
14	legislation passed?	14	entitled "The Problem" given at the
15	A. Well, of course nobody knows these	15	founding of IHF.
16	things are for sure going to happen until they	16	Two of the more delightful
17	happen, but it's I get the impression that	17	praises: He says, "a concerted and
18	there was a certain consternation that there	18	well-directed action" on page 1, and
19	were these people who were dying with asbestosis	19	on page 10 says that each company is
20	and silicosis and so they, for technical, legal	20	its brother's keeper.
21	reasons were closed out both from filing	21	I'm assuming that I have
22	workers' compensation claims and from suing	22	provided that to your firm on other
23	their employer.	23	occasions, but I'll certainly try to
24	And this was something that put the	24	give that to you yet this week.
25	ball in the court of the state legislature to	25	MR. FISCHER: Without waiving
	Page 22		Page 24
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	resolve. And I gather that there was enough	$\frac{1}{2}$	any objections with regard to
3	public pressure from unions and media interests	3	whether or not that would be
4	and so on that something was, in fact, done	4	appropriate at this stage of the
5	about it.	5	litigation, let me say that I am
6	Q. And what I'm asking is, from where	6	aware of the document that you're
7	do you get that impression?	7	referring to, Mr. Walker, and it's
8	A. From reading all the things that	8	not necessary for you to send me a
9	I've seen about it. I mean, little bits and	9	copy.
10	pieces in a three-volume set that made reference	10	MR. WALKER: Okay. Thank
11	to the Illinois law, Johns Manville documents	11	you.
12	that made reference to it; I think I must have	12	BY MR. FISCHER:
13	seen some of them, although I can't off the top	13	Q. Dr. Castleman, you heard Mr. Walker
14	of my head recall specific documents.	14	refer to what he described as a speech by
15	Q. Have you read the entire	15	Mr. Hirth that he's marked as Exhibit No. 603.
16	three-volume set?	16	Is that something that, from
17	A. I've looked through it for	17	Mr. Walker's description, you recognize as
18	everything it had on asbestosis. I don't think	18	something you've seen before?
19	I can say I've looked at every page, and I	19	A. I think I may have seen that.
20	probably missed abstracts missed reading	20	Q. Are you prepared in any way to
21		21	discuss it today?
22	information on some of the questions you're	22	A. No.
23	asking about, what was going on in Illinois in	23	Q. Do you know anything about what
24	the '30s.	24	Mr. Hirth is purported to have said in the
25	Q. Can you point me specifically to	25	course of that speech?

Page 25 Page 27 Castleman, ScD 1 1 Castleman, ScD 2 of filings were? 2 A. I don't recall what he said in that 3 particular speech, but I think I have seen that. 3 A. The rates of filings --Q. If you -- well, let me ask you 4 4 Yes, sir. 5 this: Do you recall when it was that you think 5 A. -- of claims? 6 you saw it? No. I haven't. 6 7 A. No, I don't remember when I saw it. 7 Q. When did silicosis become 8 Q. Do you know how long ago it was 8 compensable as an occupational disease in that you think you saw it? 9 9 Illinois? 10 A. No. 10 MR. WALKER: Do you mean as opposed to those plaintiffs that had Q. Do you have any information as to 11 11 what position Mr. Hirth may have had with regard 12 12 been successful under what we call 13 to whether or not legislation in Illinois in the 13 common lawsuits? 1930s on the issue of occupational disease was a 14 14 MR. FISCHER: I may be able 15 good thing or a bad thing? 15 to rephrase it. A. I don't recall what he might have MR. WALKER: I object to the 16 16 17 said about that. Again, I think this was -- the 17 question, unless you talk about what 18 industry was in a reactive mode at this time, I might call a matrix approach of --18 and they were not initiating the legislation, under the Industrial Commission or 19 19 but they wanted to affect its development in a the trial by jury under common law 20 20 21 way that it would be consistent with their 21 theories. 22 22 business interests. Q. Let me ask it that way, 23 Q. Do you know whether there were 23 Dr. Castleman: Are you aware of the rate of filings of claims with the Industrial Commission 24 public hearings with regard to this proposed 24 25 legislation? 25 of people who asserted that they had contracted Page 28 Page 26 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD 2 asbestosis through their work after asbestosis 2 A. If there were, I haven't seen any transcripts of legislative hearings or other became compensable under that system? 3 3 types of public hearings that may have occurred. A. I had seen something and recorded 4 4 something about the filing of claims in the 5 Q. Do you know if there were any 5 groups or organizations that urged passage of 6 state of Illinois. Let me see if I can find it 6 the legislation? 7 7 here. 8 A. If there were any mentioned in the 8 (Perusing book.) Somewhere I had things that I've read, I don't recall who they seen, and I thought recorded, that there were a 9 9 might have been. I can easily imagine unions, number of claims against Johns Manville in the 10 10 for example, wanting to see something done by 1930s in Illinois before there were changes made 11 11 12 the legislators, but I don't really remember 12 in the law. specific documents that talk about the parties 13 13 Q. And so that I understand, you're that were speaking publicly in favor of the talking about before the passage of this 14 14 15 legislation or of some kind of legislation. 15 legislation that we're talking about? Q. And just so we're clear, you can 16 A. Right. 16 imagine that unions might be in favor of this 17 17 Q. How about after the passage of the kind of legislation, but you don't have any legislation, are you aware of anything about the 18 18 information specifically about the legislation number of claims or -- in that sentence, I'm 19 19 in Illinois and whether there were any unions using "claims" to include claims with the 20 20 that urged passage or not passage? 21 21 Industrial Commission or claims in the common A. That's right. 22 22 law system, any kind of claim where somebody Q. Have you looked to see, Doctor, 23 23 sought compensation for the disease asbestosis? whether or not after asbestosis became a A. No, I don't know what happened in 24 24 compensable disease in Illinois, what the rate 25 terms of claims that were made. I mean, I might

Page 29 Page 31 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD 2 know one or two scattered claims. Like, I knew the 1930s that steps should be taken to prevent 2 of a claim that was filed in the late '40s just asbestosis and silicosis from becoming 3 3 compensable diseases in the state of New Jersev 4 because I saw some reference to that in the 4 5 5 under that state's version of the Occupational Johns Manville archives, Dominick Bertogliat, Disease Act and the Workers' Compensation Act? 6 B-E-R-T-O-G-L-I-A-T. He was a foreman at the 6 7 Waukegan plant, I think. 7 A. That appears to have been his view 8 8 But I haven't come across in 1934 and possibly by -- possibly in the situation that the industry faced in Illinois, 9 9 information that really, you know, gives any 10 sense of how many claims there were against 10 some adjustment had to be made in a situation Johns Manville in Illinois in the years 11 11 where they weren't able to kill the legislation, 12 following the enactment of the changes in the 12 and then they had to come to terms with seeing 13 law in 1936. 13 that the legislation written was something that they felt was as good a deal as they could get. 14 Q. Do you know anything about what 14 15 position Vandiver Brown was taking on the 15 Q. And would it be fair to say, question of whether or not there should be Dr. Castleman, that everything that you said in 16 16 legislation in Illinois that would recognize that last answer after the word "possibly" is 17 17 18 asbestosis as a compensable disease? something that you are essentially speculating 18 about without being able to point me to any 19 A. I don't know specifically what 19 20 Vandiver Brown's position was. 20 particular document or statement that would 21 My impression is that the general 21 support that claim? 22 position of industry at the time was that when 22 A. Well, this is where speculation in 23 laws were changed in a way that explicitly 23 a legal context has a special meaning, and I recognized asbestosis and silicosis as 24 24 tend to try to avoid that. 25 compensable diseases, that these laws be phased 25 It's my impression, from all of the Page 30 Page 32 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD in in a way that left the employers free from 2 things that I have read that have any bearing on 2 liability in the year -- for exposure in the the subject, that this is what went on. But 3 3 years prior to the enactment of the law so that whether you or a Court would characterize that 4 4 as speculation, I leave to you and the Court. I 5 they could avoid having a deluge of claims filed 5 as soon as the law was passed and having to wouldn't characterize it in that word. 6 6 7 compensate all of those people who have become 7 Q. Would it be fair to say that you 8 crippled from working for them, from breathing 8 could not point me to any specific document or 9 other material that would support your 9 occupational dusts. 10 10 impression in the sense of it actually says what O. When did silicosis become 11 your impression is? 11 compensable in the state of Illinois as an 12 occupational disease, if you know? 12 A. I can't tell you any more than I have in terms of documentary basis for my views. 13 MR. WALKER: You mean 13 Q. Have we exhausted your knowledge 14 Industrial Commission-type 14 15 compensable? 15 with regard specifically to this legislation in Illinois in the 1930s and the involvement of the MR. FISCHER: Yes, I do. 16 16 17 MR. WALKER: Thank you. 17 Illinois Manufacturers' Association, if any? 18 A. Yeah. I think that was in 1936 18 A. Yes. 19 with the changes in the law listing both 19 Q. Anything else that Mr. Walker has brought to your attention as something that 20 silicosis and asbestosis in the text of the law. 20 21 might be relevant to your work in this case? 21 As far as I know, there wasn't any different 22 arrangement for silicosis than there was for 22 A. I don't think so. I think we've 23 23 spent about as much time talking about it as asbestos. 24 Mr. Walker and I spent talking about it. 24 BY MR. FISCHER: 25 Q. Is it still true that you have 25 Was it Vandiver Brown's position in

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

		1	9 (Pages 33 to 30)
	Page 33		Page 35
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
	never read the trial testimony of	2	being done at the rate of about 60 a year, so
2 3	Richard Grimmie from 1996?	3	it's a little hard to remember what goes on at
4	A. That's right. I guess I've just	4	each one.
5	been too busy.	5	Q. Would it be fair to say that other
6	Q. Fair to say, then, that you have	6	than the times when I have showed you
7	not relied upon the testimony of Mr. Grimmie in	7	Mr. Grimmie's testimony no one else strike
8		8	that.
9	forming any of the opinions that you would offer	9	
	to the jury in this matter?		Has anybody other than me ever
10	MR. WALKER: Well, what	10	shown you Mr. Grimmie's testimony?
11	opinions are those? I mean, every	11	A. No. Apparently you're the only one
12	defendant moves to limit the	12	who thinks it has any value.
13	opinions that he expresses.	13	Q. Is it still true that you have not
14	So, I mean, I object to the	14	read the testimony of any Owens-Illinois
15	question. It seems kind of odd that	15	employee?
16	you would move in advance to say	16	A. Oh, I think I read the testimony of
17	that Dr. Castleman can't offer	17	the industrial hygienist, Mr. Willis Hazard. I
18	opinion about Acts and then go to	18	read some of his testimony, I believe.
19	the deposition and imply that	19	Q. Have you read well, let me ask
20	Mrs. Shipley and her lawyer are	20	you this: Do you recall what deposition of
21	going to violate the rulings.	21	Mr. Hazard's you read?
22	Q. Just so we're clear, Dr. Castleman,	22	A. No.
23	I actually move that you not be able to testify	23	Q. Did you read the whole thing?
24	at all, but I didn't move to limit your	24	A. I don't know. If I did, it was a
25	testimony about any particular opinion.	25	long time ago.
	Page 34		Page 36
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	MR. WALKER: But I would	1	,
3	assume that you if you're	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	
			A. Well, I think the depositions are
4	complaining, you certainly	4	dated in the early '80s or before, it could have
5	complained less as at the time that	5	been.
6	your brothers and sisters put this	6	Q. Are you familiar with the testimony
/	harpoon in you than you seem to be	7	of Mr. Hazard from February 11, 1981?
8	now.	8	A. That sounds like one of them, yes.
9	Q. Doctor, what I'm really trying to	9	Q. Have you ever testified that you
10	get at is, if you haven't read Mr. Grimmie's	10	did not read the testimony of Mr. Hazard?
11	testimony, I take it you don't rely on it?	11	A. I may have. Like I say, I think
12	A. That's right. I mean, I	12	I've probably seen excerpts of it. And my
13	understand, and I may have seen excerpts of his	13	memory of what I saw may not be totally
14	testimony, that he's a former Owens-Illinois	14	consistent in all the times I've been asked
15	management official who came to work as a worker	15	about it. It's not something that I've written
16	and who now, many years later, claims to recall	16	about, it's not something that I paid particular
17	being told something about the dangers of	17	attention to.
18	asbestos at the time he was hired by	18	Q. It's not discussed in your book,
19	Owens-Illinois.	19	right; that is, Mr. Hazard's testimony is not
20	Q. And you have that understanding	20	discussed in your book?
21	because at prior depositions you and I have	21	A. I don't think so. I think that's
22	actually gone through some of Mr. Grimmie's	22	correct.
23	testimony together, right?	23	Q. And Mr. Grimmie's testimony is not
24	A. Quite possibly. Like I say, I	24	discussed in your book, right?
25	mean, these depositions are well, they're	25	A. That's right.
			A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

			10 (Pages 3/ to 40)
	Page 37		Page 39
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	Q. I've given you a complete copy of	2	record to be clear that the
3	Mr. Grimmie's testimony in the past, right?	3	transcript in which you referred as
4	A. I believe you have.	4	OI Exhibit No. 650 references quite
5	Q. And I've given you a complete copy	5	a few exhibits on the page that
6	of Mr. Hazard's testimony in the past, correct?	6	follows Arabic page 3. And the copy
7	A. I didn't know where that came from,	7	that you've provided Dr. Castleman
8	but I do have it in my Owens-Illinois file.	8	has none of them attached to it.
9	Whether I first got it from you or not, I don't	9	MR. FISCHER: I agree.
10	remember.	10	MR. WALKER: Okay.
11	Q. I brought copies of each of them	11	MR. FISCHER: I'll also state
12		12	that the copy of the transcript of
13		13	Mr. Grimmie that I've provided here
14	•	14	makes reference to exhibits that
15		15	were available to Mr. Grimmie and
16	, 1	16	the people there, and that they are
17		17	not attached to this transcript
18		18	either.
19		19	BY MR. FISCHER:
		20	Q. Dr. Castleman, as I said, I believe
21		21	you testified that you have those exhibits, but
22		22	I'm happy to send them to you if you'd like.
23	Exhibit No. 666, which is dated	23	Would you like me to?
24		24	A. I don't see a list of what the
20 21 22 23 24 25		25	exhibits are. I guess I you have to go
	Page 38		Page 40
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	A. Yes.	2	through the transcript to see that, at least
3	Q. And Owens-Illinois No. 650, dated	3	that's the way it looks. All I have is an index
4	February 11, 1981, is the testimony of	4	that lists what pages the exhibits are mentioned
5	Willis Hazard?	5	on, at least that's my reading of this thing.
6	A. Right.	6	I don't think it would probably be
7	MR. WALKER: Let me just	7	useful for me to take the time to try and figure
8	comment that the only trans the	8	this out. I don't actually see the reference to
9	transcripts I've seen of Hazard on	9	the first 19 exhibits on page 4, even though as
10	February 11th, '81 let me just	10	I see it as Exhibit Nos. 1 to 19, and then
11	check I thought that had a lot of	11	there's reference to page 4.
12	exhibits associated with it, and I	12	Q. You've testified in the past,
13	don't see any exhibits here,	13	Dr. Castleman, about the number of references in
14	Mr. Fischer.	14	the literature to asbestos-related disease as of
15	What part of my recollection	15	certain points in time, right?
16	is wrong?	16	A. I have.
17	MR. FISCHER: There are no	17	Q. And I was curious about when you
18	exhibits attached there.	18	first made that count.
19	Q. Dr. Castleman, would you like to	19	A. Well, I don't remember. I mean,
20		20	I've been testifying in these cases since I was
21		21	32 years old, and I'm an old man now. I don't
22	copies of them, I'm happy to send them to you.	22	remember when I was first asked to give numbers.
23		23	Lots of times I prefer to do it by
22 23 24 25		24	referencing something like the report published
25	give them to him, I just want the	25	by the State of Pennsylvania in 1935 in which

Page 41 Page 43 Castleman, ScD 1 1 Castleman, ScD there are 125 references to earlier works on 2 objection. Go ahead. 2 asbestosis, published all over the world. 3 3 MR. FISCHER: Thank you. 4 It's something I'm more comfortable 4 BY MR. FISCHER: 5 with than trying to pick numbers and answering 5 Q. The Dreessen publication in '39 in the different questions that lawyers who ask me addition to the publication in '38, that the '39 6 6 publication essentially discusses the same work 7 about, you know, how many articles on asbestosis 7 were published in the world literature by 1953 8 that he did in the textile mills, correct? 8 9 or whatever. 9 A. That's a very short summary that he 10 You know, I feel I'm less than 10 coauthored with Dr. Sayers, yes. Q. Yes. And I'm trying to get a sense precise in my answers about questions like that 11 11 for when you've done your count, does the '39 12 because I really don't know exactly how many 12 13 articles I had seen by a given date. 13 one count as an additional publication? Q. Did you ever make a list that would A. I would think of it that way, yes. 14 14 O. How about the Cartier case series 15 show how many articles that appeared before any 15 given date? in 1952? 16 16 17 A. No. I think David Ozonoff did 17 A. Anything that was published that 18 something like that, and I saw a list that he talked about asbestos and disease, I would count 18 as part of the published literature. had compiled, but I never did that myself. 19 19 20 Q. Is the Ozonoff list cited in your 20 Q. So that would include Cartier from 21 book? 21 '52, right? 22 22 A. No. A. Sure. 23 Q. Is that something you still have in 23 Q. Would it include the publication of the Owens-Illinois Kaylo studies in 1955? 24 your possession? 24 25 A. I don't think so. 25 A. Sure. Page 42 Page 44 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD Q. Would it include other publications O. When you talk about the documents 2 2 that made reference to asbestos-related disease 3 3 of Dr. Schepers? A. If it talked about asbestos, it 4 at certain points in time, I take it that 4 5 Merewether's publications would be included, 5 would. 6 right? 6 Q. And it would include Doll in '55: 7 7 is that right? A. Sure. 8 Q. Including the textbook that he 8 A. Right. 9 edited in 1956? 9 Q. Would it include editions of JAMA 10 10 at various points in time to the extent that A. That's one of Merewether's they discussed asbestosis? 11 publications, yes. 11 12 Q. The Dreessen article from '38? 12 A. Yes. I mean, it would include 13 A. Yes, that's certainly part of the 13 anything in the medical literature or anyplace else that was published and publicly available 14 literature. 14 15 as a published writing that talked about 15 Q. There's a Dreessen publication --MR. WALKER: I assume this is 16 asbestos as a hazard. 16 17 not a trick question, you're not 17 Q. If a publication appeared in a going to say "And didn't you say journal and then it was abstracted in the IHF 18 18 that Merewether's article that was Digest, would that count as one publication or 19 19 20 actually published in '38 was part 20 two? of the literature in '36"? I mean 21 21 A. I don't know. I mean, it just depends how you do your counting. You could that's not your purpose? 22 say, you know, abstracts are counted as separate 23 MR. FISCHER: That's not. 23 publications because they are, in a sense, 24 24 No. it's not. 25 separately available in ways that the original 25 MR. WALKER: Okay. I have no

Page 45 Page 47 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD 2 articles might not be. It just depends. It 2 O. And it was interested in a wide just depends on the question. 3 3 variety of occupational diseases, not just those And I have said on occasion that related to asbestos, correct? 4 4 5 5 there were at least 80 articles and abstracts Α. Yes. published that talked about asbestos and cancer 6 Q. Much of the workings of the IHF had 6 7 before 1950, but there I'm clear in the way I 7 nothing to do with asbestos? 8 expressed it that I am counting abstracts. 8 A. Right. 9 Q. And to the extent -- what I'm 9 Q. There were certainly members of the 10 really trying to do, Doctor, of course, is 10 IHF that had no connection to industrial use of figure out how you do it. 11 11 asbestos? If someone asked you how many 12 12 A. I suppose so, although asbestos 13 publications were there, would the abstract 13 insulation was very widely used in the factories of diverse members of the IHF. count as a separate publication to you, or would 14 14 it depend on the context, or how would you do 15 15 O. It would be inaccurate to describe 16 it? the IHF as an asbestos institution, correct? 16 17 A. I normally --17 A. Yes. I wouldn't think of it that MR. WALKER: Didn't he just 18 18 way. It was more broadly based. 19 answer it depends on the context? I 19 Q. The IHF Digest published abstracts of medical and industrial literature that might 20 mean --20 21 relate to workplace conditions, right? 21 A. Yes, it depends on the context. I A. And hazards, yes. 22 normally don't think of abstracts as separate 22 Q. "And hazards." 23 publications, but in terms of notice and 23 24 availability of information in cases of this 24 Including industrial disease? 25 kind, abstracts can be very important. 25 A. Right. Page 46 Page 48 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD 2 It may well be that defendant Q. And the IHF Digest was widely 2 distributed amongst IHF members, correct? 3 companies that were members of the IHF didn't 3 receive certain medical journals, and yet they A. Sure. It was one of their reasons 4 4 received the Industrial Hygiene Digest, they did 5 5 they became members. receive reports about what articles on asbestos 6 6 O. Was to get the IHF Digest? said in various medical journals around the 7 7 A. Right. 8 world. 8 Q. It was a tool to disseminate 9 Q. Let me ask you a little bit about 9 information? 10 the IHF. 10 A. Right. 11 The meetings of the IHF were 11 Q. I'm sorry, I didn't catch your 12 contemporaneously publicized, correct? 12 answer. A. Well, some of them were. They had 13 13 "Right." A. a -- kind of a general or plenary meeting that Q. Are you aware of any other 14 14 15 received some publicity in newspapers in the 15 public -- any publication that disseminated more late '40s, so I understand, according to information in the 1940s and '50s about 16 16 writings of the IHF. 17 17 asbestos-related disease than the IHF Digest? 18 But then there were other kinds of, A. The only thing that came close 18 you know, different committees and groups within would have been the Journal of Industrial 19 19 the IHF whose discussions, I think, were more 20 20 Hygiene, a later Journal of Industrial Hygiene 21 closely held and which were not the subject of 21 and Toxicology, which operated until 1949 and 22 any publications. 22 contained a great many abstracts, as well. 23 23 O. The IHF was interested in Q. Are you aware, Dr. Castleman, of occupational disease, right? 24 any report in the medical literature of a person 24 25 A. Right. 25 getting mesothelioma that was attributed to an

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

			13 (Pages 49 to 52)
	Page 49		Page 51
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	occupational exposure that the person's spouse	2	have a problem.
3	had experienced before the Newhouse & Thompson	3	MR. FISCHER: Thank you.
4	report in 1965?	4	THE WITNESS: If you say so,
5	A. With respect to other substances,	5	Mr. Modesitt, I believe you.
6	there were certainly cases.	6	MR. MODESITT: We'll be done.
7	With respect to asbestos, if that's	7	BY MR. FISCHER:
8	what you were meaning to ask about, the earliest	8	Q. Dr. Castleman, when did cautionary
9	household contact case, I believe, was one of	9	information about asbestos first appear on
10	the cases in Wagner's report W-A-G-N-E-R	10	asbestos-contained products?
11	in 1960. It was the daughter of somebody who	11	A. It's not clear to me whether there
12	had occupational exposure to asbestos.	12	was any health warning on products made by I
13	Q. And in the Wagner report in 1960,	13	think the company was called Cafco.
14	is there a description there that the households	14	Q. United States Mineral?
15	in which those people lived were either in or in	15	A. United States Mineral. Thank you.
16	very close proximity to the asbestos mines?	16	I make reference to it at the
17	A. Some of them were. I don't think	17	beginning of Chapter 5. There were some
18	that that kind of comment can be stated in a	18	documents indicating that they had a sprayed
19	blanket way in describing the cases.	19	asbestos product that they were seriously
20		20	considering warning people about at the very end
21		21	of 1962, and I'm not sure exactly what happened
22		22	as to the warnings on such products.
23		23	The next thing would be the Johns
23 24	*	24	Manville label in April, I think, of 1964
25	or asbestos that she would have had an exposure	25	where and this is documented in several ways,
	Page 50		Page 52
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	to merely as a result of living near the mine?	2	so I am satisfied that it actually happened
3	A. I don't recall any detail exactly	3	Johns Manville put a very mild warning on their
4	what Wagner said about the environmental	4	containers of insulation.
5	exposure and the household contact exposure.	5	Q. And when you say
6	There were 33 cases in his report, and they were	6	A. A health warning basically saying
7	generally described fairly briefly individually.	7	that inhalation of asbestos in excessive
8	MR. WALKER: Mr. Fischer, in	8	quantities over long periods of time may be
9	case you're either reloading or	9	harmful.
10	going to another subject or	10	And then they even try to expand
11	something, I notice that we've been	11	the vocabularies of the workers by advising that
12	going and I didn't record our	12	they use respirators approved for
13	start time but for a while.	13	pneumoconiosis-producing dust in its warning
14	THE WITNESS: About an hour.	14	label.
15	MR. WALKER: And I just want	15	Q. Do I understand you correctly,
16	to make sure that amongst the	16	Doctor, that you just don't know one way or the
17	defense, there's some agreement as	17	other whether or not United States Mineral put a
18	to how the time is going to be	18	warning or caution statement on its Cafco
19	shared so that we don't run into the	19	products prior to 1964?
20		20	A. That's right. I just the
21		21	document trail that I had sort of it's less
21 22 23 24 25		22	than conclusive in establishing that.
23	3	23	Q. Next in the chronology, at least
24		24	with regard to cautions or warnings, would be
25	MR. MODESITT: We will not	25	the Johns Manville statement in April of '64?

Page 53 Page 55 Castleman, ScD 1 1 Castleman, ScD A. Right. A. Nothing comes to mind. 2 2 Q. When did Owens Corning first place 3 Q. When you said that they put it on 3 a warning or caution on its products that their insulation products, what do you mean by 4 4 5 "insulation products" of Johns Manville? 5 contained asbestos? A. The shipping cartons of 6 A. It appears to have happened --6 well, I think that happened in 1970, but I'm not 7 thermobestos. And if they were still making 7 magnesium insulation, I suppose that would have 8 8 sure. 9 been covered, too. 9 Q. How about Pittsburgh Corning, when 10 Q. And did Johns Manville manufacture, 10 did it first place a warning on its products, if 11 of course, asbestos-containing products other 11 ever? than thermobestos and its magnesia products, 12 12 A. I don't think they ever did. 13 right? 13 O. How about Unarco? 14 A. They manufactured lots of asbestos 14 A. I don't know. products. They didn't put warnings on any of 15 15 Q. Do you know if Unarco had any those as far as I know, and they didn't put involvement in the Illinois Manufacturing --16 16 warnings on the sacks of asbestos they were 17 17 Manufacturers' Association? shipping into this country from Canada, either, 18 18 A. I don't know if they did. This may not for another four or five years. have been before Unarco was making asbestos 19 19 Q. When did the warnings first appear 20 20 insulation in Bloomington. 21 on the asbestos fiber containers? 21 Q. Well, we were talking about the 1930s specifically before, but let me ask you 22 A. That appears to have happened 22 23 sometime in the beginning of 1969. 23 just generally speaking whether you know if Q. How about with regard to other Unarco had any participation in the Illinois 24 24 products that had been fabricated using asbestos Manufacturers' Association at any period of 25 25 Page 54 Page 56 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD 2 2 as a raw material; when, if ever, did Johns time. A. Well, apparently they did have a 3 Manville put warnings on those products? 3 A. I don't remember specific dates on plant in Illinois in Cicero starting in 1926, 4 4 5 things like asbestos cement sheets. I can't 5 so -- and I have it recorded as a unibestos 6 think of anything else they made that might have 6 plant, so I had forgotten about that. So had warnings on it before 1972, when it was 7 7 apparently they were a manufacturer in Illinois 8 required by the Department of Labor. 8 in the period of interest to us at this Q. How about Transite Board? 9 9 discussion, at this deposition. 10 10 Q. Do you know whether or not Unarco A. That's what I'm thinking of, was ever a member of the Illinois Manufacturers' asbestos cement sheets. I dont know -- I think 11 11 12 there was an article published by Keith Harless. 12 Association? 13 And he made reference to asbestos cement --13 A. No, I don't. well, asbestos board products from Johns Q. Do you know whether Unarco had any 14 14 role or participation in the legislation that 15 Manville and noted that there -- if I remember 15 was offered and passed about occupational this right, he noted that there weren't warning 16 16 disease in Illinois in the 1930s? 17 labels on the products. And I'm just trying to 17 18 see when that was published. 18 A. I don't know about that. (Perusing book.) It might have 19 19 Q. Other than Johns Manville and Owens 20 been in 1978. 20 Corning, did any other manufacturer of 21 Q. Let me ask it this way, 21 asbestos-containing pipe insulation or block 22 insulation place a warning or caution label on 22 Dr. Castleman: Are you aware of any other its product prior to 1972? 23 products on which Johns Manville placed a 23 warning prior to 1972 other than thermobestos or 24 A. I believe that EaglePicher did in 24 similar kinds of insulation and asbestos fiber? 25 1964. There are historic documents, letters to

Page 57 Page 59 1 Castleman, ScD Castleman, ScD 2 customers and the memorable "Don't shout caution A. I think I recall seeing something 2 from the rooftops" internal memo that I recall like that on a sack of asbestos from Russia at a 3 3 relating to that. That's one company. 4 4 plant in Vietnam. 5 Q. How about Philip Carey, are you 5 Q. When would you have seen that? aware whether Philip Carey ever placed a warning 6 6 A. 1991. 7 on its asbestos-containing pipe insulation or 7 Q. Is that the -blocks prior to '72? 8 8 A. The warning was in English. Q. Is that the first time that you A. I don't know if they did. 9 9 10 Q. In what countries were there 10 would be aware of any warning or caution relating to the health effects of asbestos on 11 production of products using asbestos as a raw 11 material in the 1950s and '60s? 12 12 asbestos or asbestos-containing products 13 MR. WALKER: You mean like 13 originating in the Soviet Union? 14 nations? A. Yes. But I haven't made any real 14 15 MR. FISCHER: Yes, sir. 15 investigation about that. This was just an incidental observation. A. Well, it was used in lots of 16 16 17 countries. What do you mean? You mean asbestos 17 Q. How about asbestos-containing mining or just use of asbestos? 18 18 products manufactured in Europe; are you aware of any warnings or cautions, labels being placed Q. No. I'm talking about the 19 19 fabrication of products using asbestos as a raw on asbestos-containing products manufactured in 20 20 21 material. 21 Europe at any time? 22 A. Yes. I discuss this in Chapter 11 22 A. It was very widespread. I think 23 most industrial countries and a lot of 23 of the book. 24 developing countries had asbestos plants. I 24 (Perusing book.) I even have a 25 mean, India had asbestos plants, at least as far 25 picture of the label that was developed by the Page 58 Page 60 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD 2 **British Asbestos Industry (indicating).** 2 back as 1937. O. The take care with asbestos 3 Q. When did warnings or caution labels 3 first appear on any asbestos-containing product 4 4 picture? 5 manufactured in India? 5 A. Right. Right. So I thought this was a marvelous creation from the land of 6 A. I don't know if they even do it 6 7 today. The rest of the world, as far as I've 7 understatement and ambiguity. 8 been able to determine, lagged behind the 8 Q. And it looks like on the very next page there appears to be a warning that is in 9 United States on the matter of warning labels on 9 10 Spanish? 10 asbestos products. I guess I should say the rest of A. Yes. This other warning, although 11 11 12 the world's companies and governments lagged 12 it's in Spanish, is much clearer in behind the labeling that initially started with communicating true dangers of asbestos. Even to 13 13 some asbestos manufacturers in the United States people who don't speak Spanish, the word cáncer 14 14 15 and then became a matter of government 15 requirement. 16 Q. C-A-N-C-E-R? 16 17 Q. And, in fact, in many nations or 17 A. -- C-A-N-C-E-R -- is understandable 18 countries, asbestos manufacturing is and was 18 and so is the skull and crossbones. government-owned, right? 19 19 Q. And that's on page 789 of your A. Well, that was, I suppose, the case 20 20 book; is that correct? in the old Soviet Union and China. 21 21 A. Right. 22 Q. Did asbestos-containing products 22 Q. And when did that first appear on 23 any product, that you're aware of? 23 manufactured in the Soviet Union or China ever A. Which one? bear warnings or cautions relating to the health 24 24 effects of asbestos? 25 Q. The one on page 789 of the book.

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

		_	10 (Pages of to 64)
	Page 61		Page 63
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	A. Oh, I don't think that ever	2	ahead of Britain or Europe with regard to
3	appeared on a product. That was a protest	3	caution or warning labels, right?
4	poster hung in schools made of asbestos cement	4	A. Right. And some of the T&N
5	by Puerto Rican teachers.	5	documents talk about how the Americans were a
6	Q. Okay. The	6	lot more nervous about this matter of warning
7	A. That would have been in the late	7	labels because of the product liability cases in
8	'70s, I think, '79, 1980.	8	the United States, which the Europeans and the
9	Q. How about the label on page 788,	9	Brits generally didn't have to worry about.
10	that did appear on products; is that correct?	10	Q. One of the things that you've done
11	A. I think so.	11	with your professional life is spent some time
12	Q. And when did it appear on products?	12	outside of the United States urging bans on
13	A. It was as the text below says,	13	asbestos use, right?
14	it was introduced in Britain in 1976 and later	14	A. Well, working with other public
15	adopted by the Asbestos International	15	health workers in connection with legislation
16	Association, and that they were satisfied that	16	like that, yes.
17	this label had no adverse effect on sales in	17	Q. Would you agree that every country
18	Western Europe and Australasia.	18	that has used asbestos industrially has had
19	Q. Is that a T&N document?	19	mesothelioma incidents?
	A. The reference?	20	A. Well, I think that's probably true,
21	Q. Yes.	21	although it's not documented in every case. And
22	A. Let's see. Probably it is.	22	there are lots of countries where their cases
23	(Perusing book.) I don't know. If	23	just go uncounted, undiagnosed, unrecorded in
20 21 22 23 24	it's a document that came from Turner & Newall,	24	the medical journals.
25	it's not referenced in that way.	25	Q. Have you ever tried to determine
23		23	·
	Page 62		Page 64
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	It's a 1984 letter from the	2	whether mesothelioma incidents in the
3	Asbestos International Association guy to the	3	United States is more or less than in other
4	Asbestos Institute fellow in Canada.	4	countries that have used asbestos industrially?
5	Q. If we exclude Great Britain,	5	A. I don't think that there would be
6	Doctor, are you aware of any warnings or	6	any way of making that kind of comparison. So
7	cautions that have ever appeared on	7	much depends on the recording of this data, and
8	asbestos-containing products manufactured in	8	different countries do it in different ways.
9	Europe except for Great Britain?	9	Lots of other countries have a national health
10	A. Nothing comes to mind. I may have	10	service that serves as a good way of gathering
11	discussed other countries in Chapter 11, but I	11	information like this. We don't have anything
12	can't remember anything. I mean, it seems like	12	of the kind.
13	the British industry took the lead in dealing	13	Q. Dr. Selikoff published his studies
14	with this question of warning labels. That's	14	of insulators beginning in 1964, correct?
15	expressed very clearly in a meeting of the	15	A. Yes.
16	Asbestos International Association in 1978, that	16	Q. Do you know when Dr. Selikoff began
17	they felt that they'd rather have no warning	17	his work that led to the publication in 1964?
18	label at all, but if they had to put one on,	18	A. No.
19	that they would like to go with this rather than	19	Q. Do you know how that work was
20	have to worry about the skull and crossbones or	20	funded?
21	the word "cancer" being imposed on them by	21	A. No. All I know is that the
22	government authorities.	22	Insulation Workers Union cooperated, but I don't
23	Q. Now, when you say the British	23	know if they were involved in funding their
24 25	industry took the lead, you mean in Europe; we	24	work, or not initially.
	already established that the United States was	25	Q. What kind of cooperation did they

Page 65 Page 67 1 1 Castleman, ScD Castleman, ScD 2 the use of the word "successful" and make sure 2 give? 3 3 A. Well, I understand that they gave that it's clear that, although Selikoff was an 4 him access to their death cards. And, of extraordinary individual and made very important 4 5 course, they gave him access to their union 5 contributions, there were real limits to membership rules so that he was able to do the anyone's ability in getting the word out to the 6 6 mortality study he conducted by knowing who the 7 7 working people in this country about the lethal members of the union were as of the beginning of dangers of asbestos when he started doing this 8 8 9 1942, and then doing the follow-up on them to in the 1960s and into the '70s. 9 10 track down the death certificates and do the 10 Q. Among the groups that Dr. Selikoff spoke to were the insulators themselves, right? 11 statistical analysis. 11 12 Q. Dr. Selikoff's studies eventually 12 A. Yes. included physical examinations of the members of 13 13 Q. He spoke at at least one of their the union, right, in New York and New Jersey? annual conventions about the health risks posed 14 14 A. Right. I mean, after the mortality 15 15 by exposure to asbestos? study, or sometime maybe even before it was A. Well, they had conventions of their 16 16 published, Selikoff had sufficiently open union officers it seems every five years, and he 17 17 18 relations with the union locals in New York and 18 spoke at several of these meetings about his 19 New Jersey that he was able to start doing 19 research. 20 examinations of the members of the union and 20 Q. Are you suggesting the meetings 21 develop morbidity data on the prevalence of 21 were limited to union officers? asbestosis among the workers. 22 MR. WALKER: Who did you --22 23 Q. And one of Dr. Selikoff's many 23 say that again, Matt. contributions to medicine and science is that he MR. FISCHER: Limited to 24 24 25 was successful publicizing much of the 25 union officers. Page 66 Page 68 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD experience with regard to insulation workers and 2 2 MR. WALKER: The first part mesothelioma and lung cancer, right? 3 3 about suggesting. A. Well, he was certainly much more 4 4 BY MR. FISCHER: 5 willing than most doctors to speak to the media. 5 Q. Are you suggesting that the meetings -- let me ask it another way: Are you He understood that as part of his professional 6 6 suggesting that the meetings at which 7 role as a public health professional. 7 8 Q. And he spoke to --8 Dr. Selikoff addressed members of the insulators 9 A. But to say that he was successful, 9 union were limited to union officers? 10 well, I think this needs to be explained before 10 A. I don't know one way or the other, it can be simply answered. but there were meetings that were held someplace 11 11 12 It's certainly true that he was 12 in the United States, and they were generally attended by business agents and people like 13 successful in doing a number of interviews with 13 14 the media and getting coverage on the various 14 15 types of media. But whether he was successful 15 I suppose that people from the in reaching the ordinary working people in this union local in the city where the meeting was 16 16 held would have been free to come if they were 17 country is another question. 17 18 It's certainly great to get all 18 off work that day and they could make it. I 19 those articles he got in the New York Times and 19 don't really know. stuff like that, but there are a lot of people 20 20 Q. And that's all I'm trying to find in this country that don't read the New York 21 out, you know, in terms of any limitation on who 21 could attend, you don't know one way or the 22 Times or Wall Street Journal or the other 22 23 journals and newspapers and magazines that 23 other? 24 24 Selikoff was quoted in. A. That's right. 25 MR. WALKER: Just to see if 25 So I just want to be careful about

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

			18 (Pages 69 to 72)
	Page 69		Page 71
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	Mr. Modesitt is awake, a good	2	health hazards of asbestos exposure during the
3	illustration of that point goes like	3	course of the physical examinations that they
4	this: Bobby Knight is in Chicago	4	provided to those workers?
5	playing and he needs a new suit.	5	A. I don't know what they told the
6	1 • 0	6	workers during the physical examinations.
	So he goes into a tailor and	7	Q. Have you ever tried to investigate
7	the tailor looks at him and says,	1	
8	"Well, we can make you a suit." He	8	what the workers were told during those physical examinations?
9	says, "I believe you're about a 42	9	
10	regular."	10	A. No.
11	And Bobby says, "No, no." He	11	Q. You spoke to Dr. Selikoff before he
12	says, "How can that be?" He says,	12	had died; is that correct?
13	"My tailor in Bloomington says I'm a	13	A. I spoke to Dr. Selikoff on a number
14	44 long."	14	of occasions between 1971 and 1992 when he died.
15	At which time the tailor in	15	Q. Did you ever talk to him about
16	Chicago says, "You're not such a big	16	whether or not the Insulators Union participated
17	man in Chicago as you are in	17	in any way of the funding of this study?
18	Bloomington."	18	A. No.
19	Just wanted to check on you,	19	Q. Did you ever talk to him about what
20	Ray.	20	the insulators were told about the health
21	THE WITNESS: Okay, why don't	21	effects of asbestos by Dr. Selikoff?
22	we give the reporter a break. I	22	A. I don't know what he told me about
23	think we've been going for an hour.	23	that.
24	MR. FISCHER: Sure.	24	Q. I'm asking you whether you spoke
25	(Whereupon at 1:25 p.m., a	25	about that with Dr. Selikoff.
	Page 70		Page 72
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	recess was taken until 1:33 p.m.)		
	(The deposition resumed with	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	A. Oh, I'm pretty sure I didn't. I
3		1	don't recall ever talking to him about that.
4	all parties present.)	4	Q. Did you ever ask Dr. Selikoff or
5	BARRY I. CASTLEMAN, ScD, resumed and	5	anyone who worked at Mount Sinai about what the
6	testified further as follows:	6	workers were told about the health effects of
/	BY MR. FISCHER:	7	asbestos during the course of physical
8	Q. You mentioned that Dr. Selikoff had	8	examinations provided to them?
9	the cooperation of the Insulators Union.	9	A. No.
10	Did I understand you correctly that	10	Q. When I asked you about the Newhouse
11	you don't know whether or not the union	11	& Thompson study in '65, you had mentioned that
12	participated in the funding one way or the	12	it was your understanding that one of the cases
13	other?	13	in Dr. Wagner's case series in 1960 may have
14	A. That's right, I don't know.	14	involved a household exposure; is that correct?
15	Q. And I take it you don't know	15	A. Right.
16	whether or not the individual union members had	16	Q. Anything else prior to '65 that
17	any part of their dues go towards Dr. Selikoff's	17	you're aware of where there was a publication of
18	study?	18	an instance where a person contracted
19	A. I don't know if there was any	19	mesothelioma that was attributed to asbestos
20	arrangement of that kind back in the beginning.	20	exposure that had been experienced by a
21	I think that that was something that developed	21	household member in the household member's work?
22	later, but I don't know when.	22	A. Nothing comes to mind.
			MR. FISCHER: Those are all
23	Q. Do you have any knowledge one way	23	MIK. PISCHER. THOSE are all
23 24	Q. Do you have any knowledge one way or the other about whether or not Dr. Selikoff	23 24	
23 24 25	or the other about whether or not Dr. Selikoff and his team advised the insulators of the	23 24 25	the questions I have for you,  Doctor. Thank you.

		_	19 (Pages 75 to 76)
	Page 73		Page 75
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	EXAMINATION	2	Q. And does the inference come from
3	BY MR. MODESITT:	3	the fact that John Crane's Manufacturing Company
4	Q. Doctor, I would like to go back, if	4	was in Chicago?
5	I may, and ask a few additional questions on the	5	A. Yes.
6	Illinois Manufacturers' Association.	6	Q. And I'm just thinking to find out
7	Was the trial that you referred	7	where you get the inference from. That's the
8	you referred to a trial, I believe, in 2007.	8	inference
9		9	
10	Do you remember what state that was in?	1	A. He was in the Chicago area, I
		10	think, yes.
11	A. Virginia.	11	Q. So that was the inference in your
12	Q. And I know Bobby Hatten is in	12	mind?
13	Virginia. Do you think he might have been the	13	A. Right.
14	counsel that you worked with on that case?	14	Q. Is there anything that you saw, any
15	A. Yes.	15	documents that you saw that would suggest that
16	Q. You used two words strike that.	16	American Brake Shoe or American Brake Shoe and
17	You referred to two different	17	Foundry, American Brake Company, Abex, any of
18	companies. One you referred to is Crane Co.,	18	those entities in the predecessor history line
19	and then you referred to a company called	19	of Pneumo Abex were involved in the Illinois
20	John Crane. Do you understand those to be two	20	Manufacturers' Association?
21	different and distinct companies?	21	A. No, I haven't seen anything. Like
22	A. I do.	22	I said, I've seen very little documentation, but
23	Q. Crane Co. made valves and	23	what I've seen doesn't include any explicit
24	John Crane made gaskets. Is that your	24	reference to Abex or its predecessors.
25	recollection?	25	Q. Okay. But you do believe it
	Page 74		Page 76
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	A. I believe that those two statements	2	included reference to Johns Manville?
3	are correct.	$\frac{1}{3}$	A. No. I have been told by Mr. Walker
4	Q. Did your information reveal that	4	that some of the newer documents I shouldn't
5	one or that both of those companies were	5	say newer. The documents that he has unearthed
6	involved with the Illinois Manufacturers'	6	refer to Mr. Vandiver Brown being involved. And
7	Association back in the early- to mid-1930s?	7	that's something I don't recall. I just don't
8	A. The documentation I've seen shows	1	
9		8 9	recall having seen Johns Manville named in the
	that there was some involvement by Crane Co.	1	other documents I've seen, which are few.
10	The involvement by Crane or John Crane Company,	10	Q. And you're not saying that he was
11	that that company's involvement was inferred.	11	because you haven't seen any documents yet from
12	But I have not seen any	12	Mr. Walker?
13	documentation showing that they were actually	13	A. Yes. I think I've been
14	involved.	14	appropriately cautious about what I've said in
15	(Telephone interruption.)	15	this deposition about the documents I haven't
16	(Discussion off the record.)	16	seen.
17	BY MR. MODESITT:	17	Q. And so you're not prepared today to
18	Q. When you say "inferred," what do	18	impart any information regarding Vandiver
19	you mean by that?	19	Brown's role, if any, with the Illinois
20	A. Well, the documentation I've seen	20	Manufacturers' Association at any time,
21	indicates that in order for companies to be free	21	including the mid-1930s?
22	from civil suits or exposure to civil suits,	22	A. Right.
23	they had to accept the jurisdiction of the State	23	Q. I know Mr. Al is it Donnay?
124	Workers' Compensation Law in 1936 when it was	24	A. D-O-N-N-A-Y, Donnay.
24 25	enacted.	25	Q. "Donnay."

Page 77 Page 79 1 1 Castleman, ScD Castleman, ScD He has collected for you, and I 2 that, you know, scattered news articles that 2 have even purchased from him, various documents 3 3 might appear once in a decade in the Los Angeles that you have secured. Times or something constitute much in the way of 4 4 5 Is there anything in the 5 notice to the ordinary newspaper reader who -information that he has collected for you that or the ordinary citizen who might not even be a 6 6 7 would include the Illinois Manufacturers' 7 regular reader of a newspaper. But to companies that were in the 8 8 Association? 9 9 business of using asbestos, such articles might A. I don't think there are any 10 Illinois Manufacturers' Association documents in 10 constitute a more significant or a more noticeable type of alert to see these kinds of 11 the -- it's certainly not in the Abex file. 11 things in the public domain if they're 12 They would probably be in the John Crane file, 12 published, like the Detroit -- one of the 13 whatever I have. 13 Detroit papers publishing an article about brake 14 O. Or the Crane -- is there a file for 14 linings being hazardous around 1972 or so. 15 Crane Co.? 15 So I don't think that would have 16 16 A. Yes, it might be in the Crane Co. 17 17 come -- that would have maybe gotten more file, too. 18 18 attention from people who worked for the big Q. So if there is anything on that, is it your best -- I hate to use the word guess, automobile companies than --19 19 Q. Would you agree --20 but best surmise that it would be in one of 20 21 those other files? 21 A. -- than others. 22 22 A. Right. Q. Excuse me, I didn't want to cut you 23 Q. Because in the list that I just 23 off. recently got by e-mail from Mr. Donnay, I looked 24 24 Would you agree that with the and there was no Illinois Manufacturers' New York Academy of Science meeting in 1964, 25 25 Page 78 Page 80 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD 2 2 that following that time frame, there was a very Association. 3 large news media dissemination of various 3 A. Right. comments and portions of the studies that were 4 Q. One of the files that I recently 4 reprinted in newspapers around the country? 5 obtained from Mr. Donnay following our last 5 deposition, which I believe was in January --A. I wouldn't call it very large. 6 6 no, whenever it was, this year, 2010, I got a There were starting to be articles that came out 7 7 in newspapers, and I have tried to collect these 8 copy of news articles. 8 9 Can you tell me what benefit news 9 articles. And there aren't that many of them, 10 especially in the 1960s, talking about Selikoff 10 articles are with regard to your view of historical knowledge concerning asbestos, what and his work. I mean, one does see reports in 11 11 12 part to do they play, what role do they play? 12 the Washington Post and in some other major A. Well, the news articles reflect a 13 13 papers, and then some other not so major papers, as well. But... 14 dissemination to a broader circle of society 14 15 than medical articles. And so the news articles 15 Q. Is this an effort by the news media, in your view, to disseminate knowledge in many ways form a kind of notice to whoever 16 16 about asbestos disease? 17 reads them. 17 18 18 A. Well, they just see themselves as And some of the parties I've been most interested in have been people like the being in the business of reporting the news. 19 19 And so if something comes to their attention and 20 Abex executive, who was regularly reading the 20 they consider it newsworthy, and they are New York Times and sending copies of the 21 21 professional journalists in the way that we 22 articles around to his colleagues in the 22 23 expect most newspapers were, then they might 23 company. choose to write an article about it. 24 It's -- perhaps -- I see it in a 24 fairly limited way. I don't -- I don't see 25 Q. In addition to newspaper articles 25

		_	21 (1 ages of to 04)
	Page 81		Page 83
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	or news articles, articles also appeared in	2	files clearly in mind, but I do recall seeing
3	other forms of journals as opposed to just in	3	some documentation connected with the filing of
4	medical journals.	4	some of these cases in Illinois in the early
5	Do you agree with that?	5	'30s.
6	A. Yes.	6	O. Some number of cases were direct
7	Q. For example, I believe in was it	7	action cases by employees at Waukegan against
8	1950, May of 1950, when Dr. Hueper was noted	8	Johns Manville?
9	in was it Time Magazine or Newsweek?	9	A. I think they were employer suits,
10	A. Newsweek.	10	if that's what you mean by "direct action."
11	Q. Newsweek Magazine?	11	Q. Yes.
12	A. Right.	12	And as a result of the disposition
13	Q. And that certainly was not a	13	of those cases, that was one of the things that
14	medical journal, but it was in the section of	14	sparked or provided to be the impetus to the
15	Newsweek about medicine?	15	1936 act, Occupational Disease Act?
16	A. Right.	16	MR. WALKER: Are you asking
17	Q. Is that the kind of information	17	him, was the result of the cases the
18	that was intended, in your view, to reach the	18	spark or the fact that those cases
19	general population?	19	were filed? I mean, there's a real
20	A. Yes.	20	difference.
21	Q. Or at least those who were reading	21	MR. MODESITT: My question
22	Newsweek?	22	was what it was, Jim, the result of
23	A. That particular page of Newsweek	23	the case.
24	where in one paragraph asbestos was named, yes.	24	A. That's the way I heard it.
25	Q. Likewise, you also have files that	25	I think that those cases and
	Page 82		Page 84
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	you referred to as early litigation files, do	2	probably other cases where suits had been
3	you recall that?	3	brought against employers and which suits were
4	A. No. Maybe you can tell me a little	4	thrown out contributed to the condition leading
5	bit more about what it is you're asking about.	5	to the changes in Illinois law.
6	Q. The files that Mr. Donnay will	6	But, again, it's just this isn't
7	provide at a cost about early asbestos-related	7	something that I have done a meticulous study
8	litigation files?	8	of; I mean, reading all the newspaper stories
9	A. Right. There is a file of I	9	that were published in the 1930s or doing the
10	mean, these files are all my files you're	10	other kinds of things one might do in order to
11	talking about. What Mr. Donnay is providing are	11	investigate that.
12	my files. He scans the documents, he updates	12	Q. Do you recall what specific
13	the files as new documents come to my attention.	13	asbestos product was made at Waukegan's plant of
14	So there is, I think, a collection	14	Johns Manville?
15	of early well, early I write about these	15	A. Back in the 1930s, probably they
16	things and I have sort of gathered some of the	16	made textiles, and they may have made asbestos
17	records I write about in terms of early product	17	cement products, but I don't know for sure.
18	liability cases and early workers' compensation	18	Q. Do you know what kind of asbestos
19	claims.	19	fiber was used at the Waukegan plant?
20	Q. For example, you have collected a	20	A. No.
21	group of approximately I think there's	21	Q. Do you agree, though, that there
22	approximately 30 cases that were filed out of	22	were people at the Waukegan plant who sought
23	the Waukegan, Illinois plant in the 1930s	23	relief by filing suit against Johns Manville,
24	against Johns Manville?  A. Well, again, I don't have these	24 25	and they sought compensation because they had developed asbestos disease?
25			

Page 85 Page 87 Castleman, ScD 1 1 Castleman, ScD 2 2 A. I understand that that's the basic A. Yes. I mean, it's not terribly clear, but it's a characterization that's 3 allegations that were involved in these lawsuits 3 we've been talking about. basically fair, that they were trying to --4 4 5 Q. If you have a lawsuit -- if you 5 they had -- their editing comments to the work at Waukegan and you have a lawsuit claiming authors of the medical report were clearly 6 6 7 that you have an asbestos disease, would you 7 conditioned by the eminent consideration of 8 presume that the individual who filed the 8 workers' compensation law changes by the New Jersey legislature, at least that's the way 9 lawsuit would therefore know that asbestos could 9 10 cause a disease? 10 it was described in the letters. 11 A. Yes. I mean, the person is clearly 11 O. Can we assume that when the letters 12 saying that their lung problem, however it was 12 were written in 1934, New Jersey did not have, 13 characterized, was at least partly 13 at that time, an occupational disease or a occupationally caused. workers' compensation law that would cover 14 14 15 O. By the asbestos? 15 asbestosis? Is that your understanding? A. Well, by the dust that they were A. Well, it appears that civil suits 16 16 exposed to. Again, some of these legal papers were still a possibility. They didn't have a 17 17 18 are kind of vague about describing the lung workers' compensation law that covered 18 asbestosis apparently, but they had -- that the 19 condition. 19 20 Q. What about the -- were there also 20 companies were open to civil suits and had, in 21 fact, paid some money to settle such suits in 21 cases filed out of the New Jersey plant at 22 1933 in New Jersey. 22 Manville against Johns Manville? 23 A. Yes. 23 Q. So in New Jersey, direct actions by 24 24 employees were permitted against the employer Q. Again, by employees who worked at the Johns Manville plant in Manville, 25 25 for work-related dust disease; do you agree with Page 88 Page 86 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD 1 2 2 New Jersey? that? 3 A. Right. 3 A. Well, I don't know how clear the 4 Q. And those cases, likewise, were 4 law was on all this. I think that the cases I 5 from employees who claimed to have 5 know about were resolved by settlement, and that asbestos-induced disease. the company was sufficiently concerned about its 6 6 exposure to pay off the lawyer who brought the 7 Do you agree with that? 7 8 A. They claimed to have diseases 8 cases so that he wouldn't bother them with any 9 induced by the dust that they breathed at the 9 more such cases. That was one of the conditions 10 factory. Just how the dust was described, I 10 of the settlement of 11 cases in 1933, according don't recall exactly or how the disease was 11 to the board of directors' minutes at Johns 11 12 described, either. 12 Manville. 13 O. You have on other occasions 13 But just what the law was, I don't know if that was ever resolved formally by the 14 discussed the New Jersey -- strike that. Let me 14 15 rephrase that. 15 courts. It may have just been nipped in the bud by a settlement by JM. You have on other occasions taken 16 16 17 issue with various letters in December of 1934 17 Q. Do you know whether or not a workers' compensation law or an occupational 18 between Mr. Hobart and Mr. Brown as it relates 18 to the Lanza study; do you recall that? disease law that was designed to cover asbestos 19 19 dust was even proposed in the legislature in 20 A. Yes. 20 21 New Jersey in either 1934, 1935, 1936, 1937 or 21 Q. And one of the issues, as I 22 understand it from your vantage point, is how it 22 1938? may or may not affect the Workers' Compensation 23 23 A. No, I don't know what the fate of law which had not yet been passed in New Jersey. 24 the legislation described in the Johns Manville 24 Is that a fair characterization? 25 25 letters was. I haven't actually looked into

Page 89 Page 91 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD what actually took place in the legislature or 2 2 these guys worked for 20 or 30 different 3 who did what when. 3 companies because the contract periods apparently were for specific jobs, not kind of a 4 4 O. Is it fair for me to assume that 5 5 you have made no inquiry to the legislative lifetime job. committees in New Jersey to determine whether 6 Q. So did many of these cases take 6 7 any proposal was even submitted in those years? 7 place in the 1954, '55, '56 time frame? A. That's right. 8 8 A. One starts to see, or I started to 9 9 Q. Do you know what year New Jersey see such cases being filed -- I think the did, in fact, adopt an occupational disease law, 10 10 earliest was 1952, and they started out at -workers' compensation law, that would cover the well, it became more numerous after 1960. 11 11 12 disease asbestosis? 12 O. Is it true that in the mid-1950s 13 A. I believe that was 1945. 13 many of the actual claims, as they were submitted, claimed asbestos dust disease to the O. And do you have any information to 14 14 15 suggest that prior to that time any proposal had 15 lungs? even been offered to the legislature? 16 A. Yes. 16 17 A. I don't know anything about the 17 And would it, therefore, be fair to 18 legislative history of the law between 1935 and 18 say that those individuals who were applying those products were, in fact, aware that under 19 19 1945. some circumstances, asbestos could be hazardous 20 Q. I believe you are also familiar 20 with what I will refer to as third-party 21 to them and cause lung disease? 21 actions, individuals who were applying 22 22 A. Well, I think that's a fair 23 insulation products that brought third-party 23 conclusion about the individuals who actually actions against various manufacturers, many of 24 24 filed the claims, and there are a limited number them in California in the mid-1950s; are you 25 25 of them. And I have them in Table 3 of Page 90 Page 92 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD 2 familiar with -- you're familiar with those? 2 Chapter 3 of my book. For example, the James Whitcomb Riley claim is Q. Yes, there's a long table in there. 3 3 one that comes to mind. And those were the same cases that you, I 4 4 5 A. Those were workers' comp claims. 5 believe, refer to as early litigation files Q. Was Riley a comp claim? under Mr. Donnay's list. 6 6 A. Yes. 7 7 At any rate, over the years you've 8 Q. And that was in California? 8 testified about various manufacturing companies, 9 A. Right. All of those claims in the 9 including Johns Manville and the knowledge that they had about asbestos; is that correct? 10 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. I'm sorry. 11 12 A. -- 1950s in that category were the 12 Q. And you essentially indicated in your testimony that you believe they've known 13 same kind of claim. 13 Q. Yes. My apologies, Doctor. My about disease to asbestos going back decades, as 14 14 15 recollection was faulty for a moment. 15 far back as the 1930s or '40s; is that fair? There was a group of -- I don't A. Johns Manville? 16 16 know, a reasonable group of workers' comp claims 17 17 Ο. Yes. filed by employees of contractor units; is that 18 18 A. Yes. 19 correct? 19 You have also done work -- strike 20 A. They were employees of contracting 20 that. 21 21 companies --At some point in time, the year of 22 22 Q. Right. which I'm not sure I know, either Johns Manville A. -- or hired by contracting 23 23 or the trustees in its reorganization sued the 24 companies and had some kind of employee 24 United States Government and/or the US Navy? relationship with these companies. And many of 25 A. Right.

Page 93  Castleman, ScD  Q. And you were hired to strike that.  You were hired to provide testimony and review documents of the US Navy; is that fair?  A. I was hired to review documents relating to a lot more than the US Navy, but there was some Navy documents included and this there was some Navy documents included and this there was some Navy documents included and this provided testimony cocreming your findings in that litigation?  A. I did.  (Whereupon at 2:04 p.m.,  Mr. Walker leaves the deposition  MR. MODESITT: We'll wait until Jim gets back.  THE WITNESS: Well, if he's THE WITNESS: Well, if he's Some guy and the was some havy documents in the last year about comparing knowledge of the US Navy yolons Anaville.  Page 94  Castleman, ScD  interesting arguments. They were arguing things like they didn't know hoeause there was an eleaves didn't know hoeause there was an eleaves didn't know hoeause there was an eleaves didn't know hoeause there was a elike they didn't know hoeause there was an elike they didn't know hoeause there was an eleaves didn't know hoeause there was a elike they didn't know hoeause there was an elike they didn't know hoeause there was a watchman and those shipyards all those years because they couldr't get in, until the Government and those shipyards all those years because they couldr't get in, until the Government and those shipyards all those years because they couldr't get in, until the Government susing all the asbestos insulation they sold in those shipyards all those years because they couldr't get in, until the Government susing all the asbestos insulation they sold in those shipyards all those years bec
Q. And you were hired to strike that.  You were hired to provide testimony and review documents of the US Navy; is that fair?  A. I was hired to review documents retaing to a lot more than the US Navy, but there was some Navy documents included and this that litigation?  A. I did.  A. I did.  (Whereupon at 2:04 p.m.,  THE WITNESS: Well, if he's  THE WITNESS: Well, if he's  Page 94  Castleman, ScD  Page 94  A. Well, that was sort of my role in this Court of Claims case. There was the question of some kind of a comparison between the Navy, but the United States Government generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns Manville. And that was part of the way the case  Was Framed.  You were airquing things like they didn't know how the Navy was using all the asbestos insulation they sold in the espionage act; they didn't know how the Navy was using all the asbestos in life as lith case veral the asploaus using all the asbestos in life as lith case veral speciause they couldn't get in, until the Government came up with some guy who worked as a watchman and recealled a Johns Manville gov oming around all the time and got waved in and flashed his but the am ye lost to memory or may be mit may be lost to memory or may be the time and got waved in and flashed his but the time and got waved in and flashed his but the time and got waved in and flashed his but the time and got waved in and flashed his but the time and got waved in and flashed his but the time and got waved in and flashed his but the time and got waved in and flashed his but the time and got waved in and flashed his but the time and got waved in and flashed his but the time and got waved in and flashed his but the time and got waved in and flashed his but the uses like the was fall thos years because they couldn't get in, until the Government came up with snow evaries and warehman and recalled a Johns Manvil
Q. And you were hired to strike that.  You were hired to provide testimony and review documents of the US Navy; is that fair?  A. I was hired to review documents retaing to a lot more than the US Navy, but there was some Navy documents included and this that litigation?  A. I did.  A. I did.  (Whereupon at 2:04 p.m.,  THE WITNESS: Well, if he's  THE WITNESS: Well, if he's  Page 94  Castleman, ScD  Page 94  A. Well, that was sort of my role in this Court of Claims case. There was the question of some kind of a comparison between the Navy, but the United States Government generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns Manville. And that was part of the way the case  Was Framed.  You were airquing things like they didn't know how the Navy was using all the asbestos insulation they sold in the espionage act; they didn't know how the Navy was using all the asbestos in life as lith case veral the asploaus using all the asbestos in life as lith case veral speciause they couldn't get in, until the Government came up with some guy who worked as a watchman and recealled a Johns Manville gov oming around all the time and got waved in and flashed his but the am ye lost to memory or may be mit may be lost to memory or may be the time and got waved in and flashed his but the time and got waved in and flashed his but the time and got waved in and flashed his but the time and got waved in and flashed his but the time and got waved in and flashed his but the time and got waved in and flashed his but the time and got waved in and flashed his but the time and got waved in and flashed his but the time and got waved in and flashed his but the time and got waved in and flashed his but the time and got waved in and flashed his but the uses like the was fall thos years because they couldn't get in, until the Government came up with snow evaries and warehman and recalled a Johns Manvil
that.  You were hired to provide testimony and review documents of the US Navy; is that fair?  A. I was hired to review documents relating to a lot more than the US Navy, but there was some Navy documents included and this was -1 was hired by the Justice Department.  Q. As a result of that review, you that Hitigation?  A. I did.  A. I did.  Whereupon at 2:04 p.m.,  Mr. Walker leaves the deposition room.)  THE WITNESS: Well, if he's and tworried, you can go on. If I can think you asked something think you asked something Sugardificiently outrageous, I will Amr. MODESITT: Okay.  Page 94  Castleman, ScD BY MR. MODESITT: Okay.  Page 96  Castleman, ScD BY MR. MODESITE: Okay.  Page 96  Castleman, Sc
4 You were hired to provide testimony and review documents of the US Navy; is that fair?  A. I was hired to review documents relating to a lot more than the US Navy, but the unit of the treview documents included and this was I was hired by the Justice Department.  Q. As a result of that review, you provided testimony concerning your findings in that litigation?  A. I did.  Mr. Walker leaves the deposition room.)  Mr. Walker leaves the deposition room.)  Mr. Walker leaves the deposition room.)  Mr. Walker look be deposition. There were lots of things that may be lost to memory or may be that may be lost to memory or may be that may be lost to memory or may be that may be lost to memory or may be that may be lost to memory or may be that may be lost to memory or may be that may be lost to memory or may be that may be
5 and review documents of the US Navy; is that 6 fair? 7 A. I was hired to review documents 8 relating to a lot more than the US Navy, but 10 there was some Navy documents included and this 11 Q. As a result of that review, you 12 provided testimony concerning your findings in 13 that litigation? 14 A. I did. 15 (Whereupon at 2:04 p.m., 16 Mr. Walker leaves the deposition 17 room.) 18 MR. MODESITT: We'll wait 19 until Jim gets back. 19 MR. MODESITT: We'll wait 19 until Jim gets back. 19 THE WITNESS: Well, if he's 20 THE WITNESS: Well, if he's 21 not worried, you can go on. If I 22 think you asked something 23 sufficiently outrageous, I will 24 bring him back. 25 MR. MODESITT: Okay.  Page 94  Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD 2 BY MR. MODESITT: Okay.  Page 94  Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD 2 Dyou recall some of those instances?  A. Well, that was sort of my role in this Court of Claims case. There was the question of some kind of a comparison between the knowledge of the US Government agenerally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns Manville. And that was part of the way the case was framed.  (Whereupon at 2:05 p.m.,  10 the time and got waved in and flashed his business card.  So there are all kinds of things that may be lost to memory or may be misremembered in litigation. There were lots of things Johns Manville and in that case. Q. And I'm sure there's lots of things that may be lost to memory or may be misremembered in litigation. There was to things Johns Manville and the US Navy said, also. There's at least a lot of documents from the US Navy said, also. A. Yes. In the course of opprapring its case, Johns Manville came up with whatever documents it could find to show that the US Navy do had some awareness about hazards of asbestos during the period of World War II. Q. Would it be a fair statement,  Q. Have you recently testified to the contrary to that statement? A. I don't know exactly what my testimony has been on that particular point, but Johns Manville had knowledge of. Q. Have you din't have knowledg
fair? A. I was hired to review documents relating to a lot more than the US Navy, but there was some Navy documents included and this uwas I was hired by the Justice Department.  Q. As a result of that review, you provided testimony concerning your findings in that litigation?  A. I did.  (Whereupon at 2:04 p.m.,
7 couldn't get in, until the Government came up 8 trelating to a lot more than the US Navy, but 9 there was some Navy documents included and this 10 was I was hired by the Justice Department. 11 Q. As a result of that review, you 12 provided testimony concerning your findings in 13 that litigation? 14 A. I did. 15 (Whereupon at 2:04 p.m., 15 16 Mr. Walker leaves the deposition 16 17 room.) 18 MR. MODESITT: We'll wait 18 19 until Jim gets back. 19 10 not worried, you can go on. If I 21 11 think you asked something 22 23 sufficiently outrageous, I will 23 24 bring him back. 24 25 MR. MODESITT: Okay. 25 26 MR. MODESITT: Okay. 25 27 A. Yes. In the course of preparing its case, Johns Manville came up with whatever documents it could find to show that the US Navy had some awareness about hazards of asbestos during the period of World War II. 29 Q. You have previously testified in response to other counsel in the last at response to other counsel in the last at question of some kind of a comparison between the knowledge of the US Government ame up with some guy who worked as a watchman and recalled a Johns Manville guy coming around all the time and got waved in and flashed his business card.  So there are all kinds of things that may be lost to memory or may be misremembered in litigation. There were lots of things Johns Manville usy coming around all the time and got waved in and flashed his business card.  So there are all kinds of things that may be lost to memory or may be misremembered in litigation. There were lots of things Johns Manville usy coming around all the time and got waved in and flashed his business card.  So there are all kinds of things that the US Navy did in that case.  Q. And I'm sure there's lots of things Johns Manville cane up with whatever documents it could find to show that the US Navy and show the vary and Johns Manville and the US Navy did in fact have that the Navy's information about the hazards of asbestos, while it may not have been more than Johns Manville.  A. Well, th
relating to a lot more than the US Navy, but there was some Navy documents included and this was — I was hired by the Justice Department.  Q. As a result of that review, you provided testimony concerning your findings in that litigation?  A. I did.  (Whereupon at 2:04 p.m., If Washer leaves the deposition room.)  MR. MODESITT: We'll wait until Jim gets back. If We'll wait until Jim gets back. If White you can go on. If I included and this your asked something sufficiently outrageous, I will bring him back. If MR. MODESITT: Okay. If Castleman, ScD  MR. MODESITT: Okay. If Castleman, ScD  Page 94  Castleman, ScD  BY MR. MODESITT: Q. You have previously testified in response to other counsel in the last year about comparing knowledge of the US Navy to Johns Manville. And that was part of the way the case was framed. (Whereupon at 2:05 p.m., If I was first med. If Whereupon at 2:05 p.m., If I was first med. If Whereupon at 2:05 p.m., If I was first med. If Whereupon at 2:05 p.m., If I was first med. If Whereupon at 2:05 p.m., If I was first many be lost to memory or may be misremembered in litigation. There were lots of things shart the US Navy aid, also. There's at least a lot of documents from the US Navy about knowledge of absentsor, do you agree with that? A. Yes. In the course of preparing its case, Johns Manville and to show that the US Navy had some awareness about hazards of absestos during the period of Word War II. Q. Would it be a fair statement.  Page 94  A. Well, that was sort of my role in the last year about comparing knowledge of a sbestos, while it may note than 10 just the knowledge of the US Government and not just the knowledge of the US Government and not just the knowledge of the US Government and not just the knowledge of the US Government and not just the way and Johns Manville, was at least equal to Johns Manville had knowledge about cancer studies, which were being withheld from publication during World War II, which the US Navy dou'nt he we being withheld from publication during World War
there was some Navy documents included and this  was I was hired by the Justice Department.  Q. As a result of that review, you provided testimony concerning your findings in that litigation?  A. I did.  Mr. Walker leaves the deposition room.)  MR. MODESITT: We'll wait until Jim gets back.  THE WITNESS: Well, if he's 20 not worried, you can go on. If I 21 think you asked something 22 sufficiently outrageous, I will 23 bring him back.  MR. MODESITT: Okay.  Page 94  Castleman, ScD  BY MR. MODESITT: Okay.  Page 94  Castleman, ScD  BY MR. MODESITT: Q, You have previously testified in response to other counsel in the last year about comparing knowledge of instances?  A. Well, that was sort of my role in the knowledge of the US Government and not just the Navy, but the United States Government agenrally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns Manville. And that was part of the way the case was framed.  The Whereupon at 2:05 p.m.,  10 the time and got waved in and flashed his business card.  So there are all kinds of things that may be lost to memory or may be misremembered in litigation. There were lots of things Johns Manville said in that case.  Q. And I'm sure there's lots of things that the US Navy about knowledge of asbestos; do you agree with that?  A. Yes. In the course of preparing its case, Johns Manville came up with whatever documents it could find to show that the US Navy had some awareness about hazards of asbestos during the period of World War II.  Q. Would it be a fair statement,  Page 96  Castleman, ScD  Dr. Castleman, hat after your review of the information that the US Navy sid in fact have — that the Navy's information about the hazards of asbestos, while it may not have been more than Johns Manville, was at least equal to Johns Manville.  A. No. Q. Have you recently testified to the contrary to that statement?  A. I don't know exactly what my testimony has been on that particular point, but Johns Manville had knowledge about cancer studies, which were being withheld from publication during World
10
11   Q. As a result of that review, you   12   provided testimony concerning your findings in   12   that litigation?   13   that litigation?   14   A. I did.   15   (Whereupon at 2:04 p.m.,   15   (Whereupon at 2:04 p.m.,   15   (Whereupon at 2:04 p.m.,   16   Mr. Walker leaves the deposition   16   Mr. Walker leaves the deposition   17   room.)   17   room.)   18   MR. MODESITT: We'll wait   18   lot of documents from the US Navy about   19   until Jim gets back.   19   knowledge of asbestos; do you agree with that?   20   THE WITNESS: Well, if he's   20   A. Yes. In the course of preparing   18   ts case, Johns Manville came up with whatever   21   think you asked something   22   documents it could find to show that the US Navy sufficiently outrageous, I will   23   had some awareness about hazards of asbestos   during the period of World War II.   25   MR. MODESITT: Okay.   25   Dr. Castleman, ScD   1   Castleman, ScD   2   BY MR. MODESITT: Okay.   25   Dr. Castleman, that after your review of the information that the US Navy did in fact have   that hast year about comparing knowledge of the US Navy to Johns Manville.   4   No.   Q. Have you recently testified to the contrary to that statement?   14   G. Have you the United States Government   15   G. Whereupon at 2:05 p.m.,   16   US Navy didn't have knowledge of.   17   Q. You dave previously testified to the contrary to that statement?   18   Other Chains case.   19   Other Chains case.
12 provided testimony concerning your findings in that litigation?   13
that litigation?  A. I did.  A. I did.  Mr. Walker leaves the deposition room.)  MR. MODESITT: We'll wait until Jim gets back.  THE WITNESS: Well, if he's  THE WITNESS: Well wait the US Navy adbout that?  A. Yes. In the course of preparing its case, Johns Manville came up with whatever documents it could find to show that the US Navy and Johns and an averances about hazards of asbestos warreness about hazards of asbestos warreness about hazards of asbestos during the period of World War II.  The Castleman, ScD  The With warreness about hazards of asbestos warreness about have here being with warrene
14   A. I did.   15   (Whereupon at 2:04 p.m.,   15   (Whereupon at 2:05 p.m.,   16   Mr. Walker leaves the deposition   16   Q. And I'm sure there's lots of things   17   room.)   17   things Johns Manville said in that case.   Q. And I'm sure there's lots of things   16   Q. And I'm sure there's lots of things   16   Mr. Walker leaves the deposition   17   that the US Navy said, also. There's at least a   lot of documents from the US Navy about   knowledge of asbestos; do you agree with that?   A. Yes. In the course of preparing   its case, Johns Manville came up with whatever   documents it could find to show that the US Navy had some awareness about hazards of asbestos   during the period of World War II.   Q. Would it be a fair statement,   Page 94   Page 96
15 (Whereupon at 2:04 p.m., 16 Mr. Walker leaves the deposition 17 room.) 18 MR. MODESITT: We'll wait 19 until Jim gets back. 20 THE WITNESS: Well, if he's 21 not worried, you can go on. If 1 22 think you asked something 23 sufficiently outrageous, I will 24 bring him back. 25 MR. MODESITT: Okay. 26 Day MR. MODESITT: Okay. 27 Day have previously testified in 28 response to other counsel in the last at 29 response to other counsel in the last at 30 po you recall some of those 31 of documents from the US Navy about 32 knowledge of asbestos; do you agree with that? 33 sufficiently outrageous, I will 34 bring him back. 25 MR. MODESITT: Okay. 26 Day Would find to show that the US Navy had some awareness about hazards of asbestos 31 during the period of World War II. 32 Q. Would it be a fair statement, 33 Page 94 4 response to other counsel in the last at 4 response to other counsel in the last at 5 least in the last year about comparing knowledge 6 of the US Navy to Johns Manville. 6 Johns Manville, was at least equal to Johns 6 Manville? 7 Do you recall some of those 8 instances? 9 A. Well, that was sort of my role in 10 this Court of Claims case. There was the 11 question of some kind of a comparison between 12 the Navy, but the United States Government 13 generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns 14 MR. MODESITT: 15 MR. MODESITT: Okay.  16 Castleman, ScD 17 Castleman, ScD 18 Dr. Castleman, that after your review of the 19 information that the US Navy sid in fact have 19 that the Navy's information about the hazards of 20 Sassetsos, while it may not have been more than 21 Johns Manville, was at least equal to Johns 22 Man Walle, was at least equal to Johns 23 Manville. 24 Dr. Castleman, ScD 25 Dr. Castleman, that after your review of the 26 information that the US Navy idid in fact have 27 that the Navy's information about the hazards of 28 Sassetsos, while it may not have been more than 29 A. Ne. 20 Have you recently testified to the 20 Contrary to that statement? 21 Johns Manville had know
16   Mr. Walker leaves the deposition room.)   17   17   17   17   17   17   17   1
that the US Navy said, also. There's at least a lot of documents from the US Navy about  MR. MODESITT: We'll wait  mutil Jim gets back.  THE WITNESS: Well, if he's  not worried, you can go on. If I  sufficiently outrageous, I will  min MR. MODESITT: Okay.  Page 94  Castleman, ScD  MR. MODESITT: Okay.  Page 94  Castleman, ScD  BY MR. MODESITT:  Q. You have previously testified in response to other counsel in the last at continuous in the last year about comparing knowledge of the US Navy to Johns Manville.  Do you recall some of those instances?  A. Well, that was sort of my role in this Court of Claims case. There was the question of some kind of a comparison between the knowledge of the US Government and not just the Navy, but the United States Government generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns Manville. And that was part of the way the case was framed.  The US Navy said, also. There's at least a lot of documents from the US Navy about hat the US Navy so you agree with that?  A. Yes. In the course of preparing its case, Johns Manville came up with whatever documents it could find to show that the US Navy had some awareness about hazards of asbestos during the period of World War II.  Q. Would it be a fair statement,  Page 96  Dr. Castleman, ScD Dr. Castleman, that after your review of the information that the US Navy did in fact have that the Navy's information about the hazards of asbestos, while it may not have been more than Johns Manville, was at least equal to Johns Manville?  A. Yoo. Q. Have you recently testified to the contrary to that statement?  A. I don't know exactly what my testimony has been on that particular point, but Johns Manville had knowledge about cancer studies, which were being withheld from publication during World War II, which the US Navy didn't have knowledge of. Q. How about with regard to the
18 MR. MODESITT: We'll wait 19 until Jim gets back. 20 THE WITNESS: Well, if he's 21 not worried, you can go on. If I 22 think you asked something 23 sufficiently outrageous, I will 24 bring him back. 25 MR. MODESITT: Okay.  Page 94  Castleman, ScD  BY MR. MODESITT:  Q. You have previously testified in 4 response to other counsel in the last at 1 least in the last year about comparing knowledge 6 of the US Navy to Johns Manville. 6 of the US Navy to Johns Manville. 7 Do you recall some of those 1 of documents from the US Navy agree with that? A. Yes. In the course of preparing its case, Johns Manville came up with whatever documents it could find to show that the US Navy had some awareness about hazards of asbestos during the period of World War II. Q. Would it be a fair statement,  Page 96  Castleman, ScD Dr. Castleman, hat after your review of the information that the US Navy did in fact have that the Navy's information about the hazards of asbestos, while it may not have been more than Johns Manville, was at least equal to Johns Manville, was at least equal to Johns Manville was taleast equal to Johns Manville of the US Government and not just the Navy, but the United States Government generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns Manville. And that was part of the way the case was framed.  16 (Whereupon at 2:05 p.m.,  18 lot of documents from the US Navy about knowledge of sabestos; do you agree with that?  A. Yes. In the course of preparing documents it could find to show that the US Navy bade on war ness. Johns Manville came up with whatever documents it could find to show that the US Navy bades manuer about with a scale. Johns Manville ad sabestos during the period of World War II.  Q. Would it be a fair statement,  Page 96  Page 96  Page 96  A. No.  Q. Have you recently testified to the contrary to that statement?  A. I don't know exactly what my testimony has been on that particular point, but Johns Manville had knowledge about cancer studies, which were being withheld from publication during Wo
19 until Jim gets back. 20 THE WITNESS: Well, if he's 21 not worried, you can go on. If I 22 think you asked something 23 sufficiently outrageous, I will 24 bring him back. 25 MR. MODESITT: Okay.  26 Page 94  1 Castleman, ScD 2 BY MR. MODESITT: 3 Q. You have previously testified in 4 response to other counsel in the last at 5 least in the last year about comparing knowledge 6 of the US Navy to Johns Manville. 7 Do you recall some of those 8 instances? 9 A. Well, that was sort of my role in 10 this Court of Claims case. There was the 11 question of some kind of a comparison between 12 the knowledge of the US Government 13 Manville. And that was part of the way the case 16 was framed. 17 (Whereupon at 2:05 p.m.,  18 In the course of preparing 12 its case, Johns Manville came up with whatever documents it could find to show that the US Navy 4. Yes. In the course of preparing 12 its case, Johns Manville came up with whatever documents it could find to show that the US Navy had some awareness about hazards of asbestos during the period of World War II. 2 Q. Would it be a fair statement,  Page 96  Page 96  Page 96  1 Castleman, ScD  Dr. Castleman, that after your review of the information that the US Navy did in fact have that the Navy's information about the hazards of asbestos, while it may not have been more than Johns Manville, was at least equal to Johns Manville?  A. No.  Q. Have you recently testified to the contrary to that statement?  A. I don't know exactly what my testimony has been on that particular point, but Johns Manville had knowledge about cancer studies, which were being withheld from publication during World War II, which the US Navy didn't have knowledge of.  Q. How about with regard to the
THE WITNESS: Well, if he's  1 not worried, you can go on. If I  2 think you asked something 2 think you asked something 3 sufficiently outrageous, I will 4 bring him back. 2 bring him back. 3 bring him back. 4 braich some awareness about hazards of sabestos during the period of World War II. 4 braich some awareness about hazards of sabestos during the period of World War II. 4 braich some awareness about hazards of sabestos during the period of World War II. 5 braich some awareness about hazards of sabestos during the period of World War II. 5 braich same him back. 5 braich same him back. 5 braich same have been more than Johns Manville, was at least equal to Johns Manville? 5 braich same have been more than Johns Manville? 6 braich same have been more than Johns Manville hav
21 not worried, you can go on. If I 22 think you asked something 23 sufficiently outrageous, I will 24 bring him back. 25 MR. MODESITT: Okay.  26 Page 94  1 Castleman, ScD 2 BY MR. MODESITT: Okay.  27 Page 94  1 Castleman, ScD 2 BY MR. MODESITT: 2 Dr. Castleman, that after your review of the information that the US Navy did in fact have response to other counsel in the last response to other counters with the Navy's information about the hazards of asbestos, while it may not have been more than Johns Manville, was at least equal to Johns Manville?  2 A. No.  2 A. Well, that was sort of my role in the last response to the counters of the this Court of Claims case. There was the question of some kind of a com
think you asked something sufficiently outrageous, I will sufficiently outrageous during the period of World War II. Q. Would it be a fair statement,  Page 96  Dr. Castleman, ScD  Dr. Castleman, that after your review of the information that the US Navy did in fact have that the Navy's information about the hazards of asbestos during the period of World War II. Q. Would it be a fair statement,  Page 96  Dr. Castleman, that after your review of the information that the US Navy did in fact have that the Navy's information about the hazards of asbestos, while it may not have been more than Johns Manville, was at least equal to Johns Manville?  A. I don't know exactly what my testimony has been on that particular point, but sufficiently was mainly the Navy and Johns Hard Has Your review of the about a fair statement,  Out Have you recently testified to the contrary to that statem
Page 94  Castleman, ScD  BY MR. MODESITT: Okay.  Page 94  Castleman, ScD  Dr. Castleman, that after your review of the information that the US Navy did in fact have that the Navy's information about the hazards of asbestos, while it may not have been more than of the US Navy to Johns Manville.  Do you recall some of those instances?  A. Well, that was sort of my role in this Court of Claims case. There was the question of some kind of a comparison between the Navy, but the United States Government the Navy and Johns Manville, was framed.  MR. MODESITT: Okay.  Page 94  Dr. Castleman, ScD  Dr. Castleman, that after your review of the information that the US Navy did in fact have that the Navy's information about the hazards of asbestos, while it may not have been more than Johns Manville, was at least equal to Johns Manville?  A. No.  Q. Have you recently testified to the contrary to that statement?  A. I don't know exactly what my testimony has been on that particular point, but Johns Manville had knowledge about cancer studies, which were being withheld from publication during World War II, which the US Navy didn't have knowledge of.  Q. Would it be a fair statement,  Page 96  Dr. Castleman, ScD  Dr. Castleman, ScD  That the VS Navy did in fact have that the US Navy did in fact have that the Navy that the US Navy did in fact have that the U
Page 94  Castleman, ScD  BY MR. MODESITT: Okay.  Page 94  Castleman, ScD  Dr. Castleman, that after your review of the information that the US Navy did in fact have that the Navy's information about the hazards of asbestos, while it may not have been more than of the US Navy to Johns Manville.  Do you recall some of those instances?  A. Well, that was sort of my role in this Court of Claims case. There was the question of some kind of a comparison between the Navy, but the United States Government the Navy, but the United States Government generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns Manville, and that was part of the way the case was framed.  MR. MODESITT: Okay.  Page 94  Dr. Castleman, ScD  Dr. Castleman, that after your review of the information about the hazards of that the Navy's information about the hazards of asbestos, while it may not have been more than Johns Manville, was at least equal to Johns Manville?  A. No.  Q. Have you recently testified to the contrary to that statement?  A. I don't know exactly what my testimony has been on that particular point, but Johns Manville had knowledge about cancer studies, which were being withheld from publication during World War II, which the US Navy didn't have knowledge of.  Q. Would it be a fair statement,  Page 96  Dr. Castleman, ScD  Dr. Castleman, that after your review of the information that the US Navy did in fact have that the
Page 94  Castleman, ScD  BY MR. MODESITT: Okay.  Page 94  Castleman, ScD  Dr. Castleman, that after your review of the information that the US Navy did in fact have that the Navy's information about the hazards of asbestos, while it may not have been more than of the US Navy to Johns Manville.  Do you recall some of those instances?  A. Well, that was sort of my role in this Court of Claims case. There was the question of some kind of a comparison between the Navy, but the United States Government the Navy, but the United States Government generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns Manville, and that was part of the way the case was framed.  MR. MODESITT: Okay.  Page 94  Dr. Castleman, ScD  Dr. Castleman, that after your review of the information about the hazards of that the Navy's information about the hazards of asbestos, while it may not have been more than Johns Manville, was at least equal to Johns Manville?  A. No.  Q. Have you recently testified to the contrary to that statement?  A. I don't know exactly what my testimony has been on that particular point, but Johns Manville had knowledge about cancer studies, which were being withheld from publication during World War II, which the US Navy didn't have knowledge of.  Q. Would it be a fair statement,  Page 96  Dr. Castleman, ScD  Dr. Castleman, that after your review of the information that the US Navy did in fact have that the
Page 94  Castleman, ScD  BY MR. MODESITT:  Q. You have previously testified in response to other counsel in the last at least in the last year about comparing knowledge least in the Navy is information about the hazards of asbestos, while it may not have been more than least equal to Johns Manville?  A. No.  Q. Have you recently testified to the contrary to that statement?  A. I don't know exactly what my testimony has been on that particular point, but least year about cancer studies, which were being withheld from publication during World War II, which the US Navy didn't have knowledge of. Q. How about with regard to the
1 Castleman, ScD 2 BY MR. MODESITT: 3 Q. You have previously testified in 4 response to other counsel in the last at 5 least in the last year about comparing knowledge 6 of the US Navy to Johns Manville. 7 Do you recall some of those 8 instances? 9 A. Well, that was sort of my role in 10 this Court of Claims case. There was the 11 question of some kind of a comparison between 12 the knowledge of the US Government and not just 13 the Navy, but the United States Government 14 generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns 15 Manville. And that was part of the way the case 16 was framed. 17 (Whereupon at 2:05 p.m., 18 Castleman, ScD 2 Dr. Castleman, that after your review of the 3 information that the US Navy did in fact have 4 that the Navy's information that the US Navy idi in fact have 4 that the Navy's information that the US Navy idi in fact have 4 that the Navy's information that the US Navy did in fact have 4 that the Navy's information that the US Navy did in fact have 4 that the Navy's information that the US Navy did in fact have 4 that the Navy's information that the US Navy did in fact have 4 that the Navy's information that the US Navy did in fact have 4 that the Navy's information that the US Navy did in fact have 4 that the Navy's information that the US Navy did in fact have 4 that the Navy's information that the US Navy did in fact have 4 that the Navy's information that the US Navy did in fact have 4 that the Navy's information that the US Navy did in fact have 4 that the Navy's information that the US Navy did in fact have 4 that the Navy as at least equal to Johns A. No. 9 Q. Have you recently testified to the contrary to that statement?  A. I don't know exactly what my testimony has been on that particular point, but 13 Johns Manville had knowledge about cancer 14 studies, which were being withheld from publication during World War II, which the US Navy didn't have knowledge of.  Q. How about with regard to the
2 BY MR. MODESITT: 3 Q. You have previously testified in 4 response to other counsel in the last at 5 least in the last year about comparing knowledge 6 of the US Navy to Johns Manville. 7 Do you recall some of those 8 instances? 8 A. No. 9 A. Well, that was sort of my role in 10 this Court of Claims case. There was the 11 question of some kind of a comparison between 12 the knowledge of the US Government and not just 13 the Navy, but the United States Government 14 generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns 15 Manville. And that was part of the way the case 16 was framed. 17 (Whereupon at 2:05 p.m., 2 Dr. Castleman, that after your review of the 3 information that the US Navy did in fact have 4 that the Navy's information about the hazards of 3 asbestos, while it may not have been more than 4 that the Navy's information about the hazards of 5 asbestos, while it may not have been more than 4 Johns Manville, was at least equal to Johns 6 A. No. 9 Q. Have you recently testified to the 10 contrary to that statement? 11 A. I don't know exactly what my 12 testimony has been on that particular point, but 13 Johns Manville had knowledge about cancer 14 studies, which were being withheld from 15 publication during World War II, which the 16 US Navy didn't have knowledge of. 17 Q. How about with regard to the
Q. You have previously testified in response to other counsel in the last at least in the last year about comparing knowledge of the US Navy to Johns Manville.  Do you recall some of those of this Court of Claims case. There was the question of some kind of a comparison between the knowledge of the US Government and not just the Navy, but the United States Government generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns Manville. And that was part of the way the case was framed.  Q. You have previously testified in that the US Navy did in fact have that the Navy's information about the hazards of asbestos, while it may not have been more than Johns Manville, was at least equal to Johns Manville?  A. No.  Q. Have you recently testified to the contrary to that statement?  A. I don't know exactly what my testimony has been on that particular point, but Johns Manville had knowledge about cancer studies, which were being withheld from publication during World War II, which the US Navy didn't have knowledge of.  Q. How about with regard to the
that the Navy's information about the hazards of asbestos, while it may not have been more than Johns Manville, was at least equal to Johns Manville?  Do you recall some of those instances?  A. Well, that was sort of my role in question of some kind of a comparison between the knowledge of the US Government and not just the Navy, but the United States Government generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns Manville. And that was part of the way the case was framed.  4 that the Navy's information about the hazards of asbestos, while it may not have been more than Johns Manville, was at least equal to Johns Manville?  8 A. No.  9 Q. Have you recently testified to the contrary to that statement?  10 contrary to that statement?  11 A. I don't know exactly what my testimony has been on that particular point, but Johns Manville had knowledge about cancer studies, which were being withheld from publication during World War II, which the US Navy didn't have knowledge of.  10 (Whereupon at 2:05 p.m.,
1
of the US Navy to Johns Manville. Do you recall some of those instances?  A. Well, that was sort of my role in this Court of Claims case. There was the question of some kind of a comparison between the knowledge of the US Government and not just the Navy, but the United States Government generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns Manville. And that was part of the way the case was framed.  O Johns Manville, was at least equal to Johns Manville?  A. No.  A. I don't know exactly what my testimony has been on that particular point, but Johns Manville had knowledge about cancer studies, which were being withheld from publication during World War II, which the US Navy didn't have knowledge of. Q. How about with regard to the
7 Do you recall some of those 8 instances? 9 A. Well, that was sort of my role in 10 this Court of Claims case. There was the 11 question of some kind of a comparison between 12 the knowledge of the US Government and not just 13 the Navy, but the United States Government 14 generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns 15 Manville. And that was part of the way the case 16 was framed. 17 Manville?  8 A. No.  9 Q. Have you recently testified to the 10 contrary to that statement?  11 A. I don't know exactly what my 12 testimony has been on that particular point, but 13 Johns Manville had knowledge about cancer 14 studies, which were being withheld from 15 publication during World War II, which the 16 US Navy didn't have knowledge of. 17 Q. How about with regard to the
8 instances? 9 A. Well, that was sort of my role in 10 this Court of Claims case. There was the 11 question of some kind of a comparison between 12 the knowledge of the US Government and not just 13 the Navy, but the United States Government 14 generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns 15 Manville. And that was part of the way the case 16 was framed. 18 A. No. 9 Q. Have you recently testified to the 10 contrary to that statement? 11 Lestimony has been on that particular point, but 12 studies, which were being withheld from 13 publication during World War II, which the 14 US Navy didn't have knowledge of. 15 Q. How about with regard to the
9 A. Well, that was sort of my role in 10 this Court of Claims case. There was the 11 question of some kind of a comparison between 12 the knowledge of the US Government and not just 13 the Navy, but the United States Government 14 generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns 15 Manville. And that was part of the way the case 16 was framed. 17 (Whereupon at 2:05 p.m.,  9 Q. Have you recently testified to the 10 contrary to that statement? 11 A. I don't know exactly what my 12 testimony has been on that particular point, but 13 Johns Manville had knowledge about cancer 14 studies, which were being withheld from 15 publication during World War II, which the 16 US Navy didn't have knowledge of. 17 Q. How about with regard to the
this Court of Claims case. There was the question of some kind of a comparison between the knowledge of the US Government and not just the Navy, but the United States Government generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns Manville. And that was part of the way the case was framed.  10 contrary to that statement?  A. I don't know exactly what my testimony has been on that particular point, but Johns Manville had knowledge about cancer studies, which were being withheld from publication during World War II, which the US Navy didn't have knowledge of. Q. How about with regard to the
this Court of Claims case. There was the question of some kind of a comparison between the knowledge of the US Government and not just the Navy, but the United States Government generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns Manville. And that was part of the way the case was framed.  10 contrary to that statement?  A. I don't know exactly what my testimony has been on that particular point, but Johns Manville had knowledge about cancer studies, which were being withheld from publication during World War II, which the US Navy didn't have knowledge of. Q. How about with regard to the
the knowledge of the US Government and not just the Navy, but the United States Government generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns Manville. And that was part of the way the case was framed.  12 testimony has been on that particular point, but Johns Manville had knowledge about cancer studies, which were being withheld from publication during World War II, which the US Navy didn't have knowledge of.  14 US Navy didn't have knowledge of.  15 Q. How about with regard to the
the Navy, but the United States Government generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns Manville. And that was part of the way the case was framed.  Johns Manville had knowledge about cancer studies, which were being withheld from publication during World War II, which the US Navy didn't have knowledge of. Q. How about with regard to the
generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns Manville. And that was part of the way the case was framed.  Whereupon at 2:05 p.m.,  Manville. And that was part of the way the case Whereupon at 2:05 p.m.,  US Navy didn't have knowledge of. Q. How about with regard to the
generally, which was mainly the Navy and Johns Manville. And that was part of the way the case was framed.  Whereupon at 2:05 p.m.,  14 studies, which were being withheld from publication during World War II, which the US Navy didn't have knowledge of. Q. How about with regard to the
15 Manville. And that was part of the way the case 16 was framed. 17 (Whereupon at 2:05 p.m., 18 publication during World War II, which the 19 US Navy didn't have knowledge of. 19 Q. How about with regard to the
16 was framed. 17 (Whereupon at 2:05 p.m., 18 US Navy didn't have knowledge of. 19 Q. How about with regard to the
17 (Whereupon at 2:05 p.m., 17 Q. How about with regard to the
18 <b>Mr. Walker re-enters the deposition</b> 18 disease asbestosis from asbestos exposure?
19 room.) 19 A. No. This is particularly I'm
Q. In other words, is my understanding 20 talking about cancer from asbestos exposure.
21 correct that Johns Manville was arguing in the 21 But the Navy was certainly aware of
case that the US Navy had paramount knowledge to 22 the fact that there was a hazard of asbestos
23 Johns Manville on disease or had more knowledge, 23 that existed in shipyard work; that they were
24 maybe that's a better word? 24 aware of that during World War II, they
25 A. Well, they were making all kinds of 25 published on it. For the most part, the Navy

Page 97 Page 99 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD 2 appears to have published basically what it knew 2 cases from Bath Iron Works out of the final about the potential hazard of asbestos. Even 3 3 Fleischer-Drinker report, that that instant was before they had identified cases of asbestos an effort to conceal that asbestos disease was 4 4 5 disease in shipyards, the Navy was warning about 5 going on in the Government contract and/or on 6 the danger. 6 shipyards? 7 Q. Did you find -- I think you've seen 7 A. I don't know. I mean, the Fleischer report did report cases of asbestosis 8 the Fleischer-Drinker report? 8 A. I've spent months of my life 9 described in the report as moderate and 9 10 looking at the Fleischer-Drinker report. 10 advanced. So they weren't totally trying to Q. You've also seen the preliminary conceal the fact that there were cases of 11 11 12 draft of that, have you not? 12 asbestosis occurring in shipyards. 13 A. I've seen various versions of it, 13 I don't recall if it were Navy yards or private yards, there were two of each 14 which might be characterized certainly as 14 in the Fleischer study, but they -- it's not 15 prepublication drafts. 15 very clear to me. You know, that's why I'm not Q. And did it seem odd to you in any 16 16 way that they deleted one whole shipyard out of 17 17 sure. 18 the published version from the prepublication 18 I mean, it might have been -- I 19 drafts? 19 mean, Fleischer was -- I'm sorry, Drinker was 20 A. If you're talking about the Bath 20 very close to American industry. He had become 21 Iron Works and the cases of asbestosis seen at 21 a consultant for the American Petroleum the Bath Iron Works, I thought that was 22 22 Institute in the 15 or so years following World something that I would find as a critical 23 23 War II. He had been an industry man before he 24 went to Harvard. There may have been some 24 omission. sensitivity on his part about writing a report, 25 Q. And if industry had done that, you 25 Page 98 Page 100 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD which could cause problems for companies in the 2 2 would have found it certainly critical of the industry, wouldn't you? 3 3 **United States.** A. Yes. Sometimes it's hard to 4 4 But I don't really know. I mean, 5 distinguish between incompetence and mendacity, 5 I'm just -- I look at the -- and I haven't looking at these documents years later. 6 6 looked at the draft, I don't have it in front of Q. So do you think the US Navy was 7 7 me right now, so I'm not really perfectly clear incompetent or just full of mendacity? 8 8 about what it was that was in the draft that A. It's hard to say. I mean, they had 9 9 didn't make it into the final report. And I 10 seen six cases of asbestosis in one shipyard; in 10 certainly haven't seen any correspondence, the Bath Iron Works, four or six cases. They internal correspondence ever dug up -- and I 11 11 12 could have published, at least noted that in the 12 think Johns Manville looked real hard for Fleischer article, but they chose to only make a 13 13 this -- showing anything improper about the way very oblique reference to the fact that they had this whole thing was brought to publication. 14 14 15 seen something at the Bath Iron Works. I don't 15 Q. If, as the draft had indicated, recall how they characterized it, exactly. 16 there were cases of asbestosis in another 16 Q. Do you think that was an effort to 17 17 shipyard that was omitted from the final draft, 18 conceal that there was, in fact, some asbestos 18 that's something that you don't think probably disease at one of the Navy shipyards? should have been done? 19 19 MR. WALKER: To what are you 20 20 A. No. I mean, if there were six 21 referring by the pronoun "that"? 21 cases of asbestosis in one shipyard and that was 22 MR. MODESITT: I'll rephrase 22 the reason that they did this survey, and then 23 23 they do the survey and they find only three it. 24 24 cases in four shipyards, you would think that Q. Do you think that by leaving a 25 portion of the preliminary draft on the disease 25 the six cases in one shipyard that preceded that

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

Page 103 Page 101 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD would be significant enough to be included in 2 Q. Did you see the correspondence 2 the preface to the study that they're reporting regarding the initial draft that showed they 3 3 were in compliance with the Navy requirement of on about the four shipyards. 4 4 But, again, I can't really say with 5 5 prepublication review? any feeling of certainty that there was anything 6 A. No. But I mean, I may have seen 6 7 improper done here. It might have just been 7 these documents, I just don't have a perfect shoddy, it might have just been kind of a let's 8 recollection of them. I haven't seen a lot of 8 not make too much trouble for anybody kind of 9 9 this stuff for years. I mean, this may be all 10 mentality that people like Drinker, I suspect, 10 covered. If it's covered in the documents, may have had. Not out of any evil inclination, there's very little point in testing my memory 11 11 12 just because of the -- you know, the -- he was a 12 over what they say. 13 man of his time. I mean, there was no 13 Q. Would you agree, Doctor, that over the many decades, concepts of what is generally government regulation. We were decades away 14 14 accepted in the publication business has 15 from having anything like OSHA or the 15 **Environmental Protection Agency in this country,** 16 changed? 16 and industry was pretty much in the driver's 17 17 A. Yes. 18 seat when it came to the conditions of work as 18 Q. In other words, what we think about 19 far as health and safety in this country. 19 today -- and I always liken it post-Watergate 20 Q. So you would give more deference to 20 and pre-Watergate, American public today expects 21 Fleischer and Drinker than you would with 21 more information than it used to, and it's used someone with a company at that point in time? 22 22 to getting more information than it used to; do 23 A. I don't think you can make that 23 you agree with that? 24 comparison. I mean, the specifics of the 24 A. I suppose that's true. I think American public has become a lot less naive 25 Fleischer article are -- vou know, it's not 25 Page 102 Page 104 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD clear. I mean, if I thought there was something about some things, and that's one reason why 2 2 clearly rotten about what they did, I wouldn't they want more information. 3 3 have any qualms about saying so. But it's not Q. And as civilization has advanced 4 4 5 that clear to me whether there was anything, you 5 with technological advancements, it's made know, that I would describe as clearly unethical information more retrievable by American public? 6 6 7 or improper that went on. 7 A. Sure. 8 It might have just been the way 8 Q. Do you recall Dr. Borow going to the plant at Manville, New Jersey to do a study? 9 people like Drinker wrote things like that up, 9 10 that doesn't reflect any kind of pressure that A. I recall Borow publishing about --10 was brought by any vested interest or even by I don't know if he ever went to the plant, but I 11 11 12 Navy or other government bureaucrats. It might 12 recall him publishing about people dying from have just been the way some people write some mesothelioma that worked at the Manville plant. 13 13 Q. And do you recall what year he 14 things up. 14 15 Q. Do you agree that the draft had to 15 published? be submitted to the Bureau of -- one of the 16 A. 1973 was at least one. There might 16 17 US Navy departments before it could be 17 have been one in 1967. published? 18 Q. And the '73 was a follow-up of the 18 19 A. I don't know what the ritual was 19 earlier one, was it not? 20 for that. I know the Navy published it with --20 A. I suppose. or it was published by Drinker with a disclaimer 21 21 Q. And in 1967, do you recall that from the Navy. So I don't really know what, if Dr. Borow had received the funds for doing the 22 22 any, obligation Fleischer and his coworkers had 23 23 study from the union who represented the workers 24 to even show their work to the Navy as an 24 at Manville?

25

A. I don't recall that.

institution before publishing it.

25

Page 105 Page 107 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD 2 Q. Would you agree with me, Doctor, 2 attention of Dr. Borow, I don't know. that -- strike that. Q. If it turns out that Dr. Borow was 3 3 actually being supported financially to do this 4 Do you recall seeing various news 4 5 publications or other publications where there 5 study by the very union of the employees of actually were a goodly number of mesotheliomas Manville, would that suggest to you that at 6 6 7 as a result of employment at the Manville, 7 least the union who represented the employees 8 New Jersey plant? there were aware of the difficulty with asbestos 8 9 A. Well, I remember one publication of 9 and mesothelioma? 10 Borow's, I don't recall which one it was. I 10 A. Yes. think there was 17 cases reported, including two 11 Q. Have you reviewed any specific 11 environmental cases. If you call that a goodly 12 12 information regarding Janet Shipley? number, then that's what it was. I don't A. No. My testimony is not case 13 13 14 recall, there may have been larger numbers in 14 specific. Q. I know it generally isn't, I just 15 the subsequent, for the 1973 report, I just 15 don't remember what they were. need to ask you this. 16 16 So other than you know that --17 Q. When I used the word "goodly," it's 17 18 certainly above what one would expect in a MR. WALKER: She was not in 18 background level. 19 Dr. Borow's study, Mr. Modesitt. 19 20 A. Sure. 20 MR. MODESITT: I'm sure she 21 Q. And was the conclusion that those 21 wasn't. 22 were occupationally induced? 22 Q. Do you know anything about the 23 A. Except for the two neighborhood 23 Eureka plant in Bloomington, Illinois? cases, I believe that's right. A. I understand that there may have 24 24 25 O. Would it be a fair assumption, 25 been some exposure in the plant, but I don't Page 106 Page 108 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD know anything about it. I mean, I just heard Dr. Castleman, that the employees at the 2 2 Manville plant, when they were being studied, about this plant, I think, for the first time 3 3 would have known that asbestos would cause this morning in a very brief discussion with 4 4 5 mesothelioma? 5 Mr. Walker. 6 A. No. 6 Q. Do you know anything about the use of asbestos in the construction and manufacture 7 Q. And why is that? 7 8 A. Because Johns Manville wasn't 8 of thermal batteries? 9 telling the workers about this. In 1972, one of 9 A. No. 10 their plant managers in California characterized 10 Q. Do you know anything about the use it as a hush-hush policy, to not tell workers of asbestos in the construction of fuse lines? 11 11 12 about the findings of their medical exams. 12 A. 13 There are other documents on site 13 Or fuses for grenades or such 14 in my book about Johns Manville withholding that 14 things? 15 information from its employees. 15 No, I sure don't. Q. Do you think Dr. Borow withheld it 16 In your historical research, 16 from the employees who were paying him to do the 17 17 looking at the literature in the 1930s, in both the United States and in Europe, for example, 18 study? 18 would you agree, Doctor, that in the 19 19 A. I don't know if Dr. Borow ever had any contact with any employees. I don't recall United States in the 1930s there was a very vast 20 20 him being supported by the union. The people 21 21 predominance of chrysotile fiber used in making he's reporting on are people that either were 22 22 asbestos products? dving or had died from mesothelioma. Whether 23 23 A. I suppose so. I mean, the any of these people were alive when they came to 24 24 importation of amphibole asbestos from South the attention -- when they first came to the 25 Africa only began in the 1930s, or at least only

Page 109 Page 111 1 Castleman, ScD Castleman, ScD 1 began to be anything more than trivial 2 2 A. I don't know if that's true or not. quantities, I think, in the 1930s. 3 3 Q. Are you familiar with the ownership And chrysotile accounted for of the crocidolite and amosite mines in South 4 4 5 95 percent of all the asbestos used in the 5 Africa in the '30s? United States in the 20th century -- all the 6 6 A. Yes. Well, at least somewhat. 7 asbestos used in the world in the 20th century, 7 Q. And are they predominantly owned by 8 and I think that was true in the United States, 8 British interest? Were they? 9 as well. 9 A. I think that the British companies 10 Q. And in the United States, have you 10 were prominent in the ownership of the asbestos seen any of the importation data from either the mines in South Africa in the 1920s and '30s in 11 11 US Department of Commerce or from the Bureau of 12 12 the US, I think so. Mines on the actual numbers of the imported 13 13 Q. And would you agree that even when amphiboles in the US prior to 1935? they discussed various asbestos fiber usage at 14 14 the 1964 conference, they referred to the use of 15 A. I think the Bureau of Mines used to 15 crocidolite on many products that in the publish data, but no, not that far back. I 16 16 United States would have been made with 17 don't think I've seen any data going back before 17 18 1935. I think Selikoff was even unable to find chrysotile? 18 19 19 A. I don't remember that. that. 20 Q. Actually, in Appendix III to the 20 Q. Okay. Fair enough. 21 1965 annals, there's a schedule in there for I know you have reviewed many of 21 the items of correspondence from 1920 -- I think it's 1929 to 1935 on amphiboles 22 22 Raybestos-Manhattan and Johns Manville. 23 in the United States. 23 24 24 Would you agree that there was a A. Oh, there you go. I didn't know 25 25 thought process among some of those executives, that. Page 110 Page 112 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD Q. Okay. I didn't -- okay. 2 2 in addition to among -- from Dr. Lanza, that 3 Likewise, are you familiar with the 3 they believed or wrote that the asbestos problem fact that in Europe, where the United States was more severe in England than it was in the 4 4 5 used mostly chrysotile, there was a very large 5 United States in the 1930s? proportion of fiber in Europe on amphiboles in 6 6 A. I don't know. I mean, they wrote letters to that effect, but what they believed 7 the '30s? 7 8 MR. WALKER: Either I missed 8 may be another story. I mean, Vandiver Brown, I think 9 that or something could -- would you 9 mind asking that again, Ray? 10 with some pride of authorship, made reference to 10 Lanza's publication suggesting that the MR. MODESITT: No. I'll be 11 11 12 happy to. I'll be happy to. 12 asbestosis problem in the United States, at least in his 1935 study, was not as serious MR. WALKER: The part I -- it 13 13 because it didn't happen to include any people 14 started out in Europe, and then it 14 15 15 who were very disabled, didn't have third -- or said United States. third-stage asbestosis, didn't have any of those MR. MODESITT: I'm sorry. 16 16 17 MR. WALKER: I believe you 17 cases in the 126 workers that were -- according to Lanza -- selected at random by Metropolitan were drawing a distinction, but if 18 18 Life and Johns Manville and the other companies 19 you did, it missed me. 19 20 MR. MODESITT: No problem. 20 for inclusion in that study. 21 Whether they really believed that 21 BY MR. MODESITT: Q. In Europe in the 1930s, would you the asbestosis problem was any different in the 22 22 23 United States than England is another story. 23 agree, Doctor, that a very large portion of the But some of the correspondence they suggested asbestos fiber in Europe was crocidolite and 24 24 25 that -- at least that was the company line, that 25 amosite?

Page 113 Page 115 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD 2 it was a milder problem here. I'm thinking of 2 testimony of Dr. Philip Enterline. the Asbestos magazine letters. Do you know Dr. Enterline? 3 3 A. I know who he was, I don't know if Q. Okay. Do you recall some of the 4 4 5 writings by Dr. Gloyne in the 1930s, I believe 5 I met him. Maybe he's still around. 1934 was one of them, where he described some of Q. I honestly don't know. I know he 6 6 7 the particularly dusty operations that were 7 was at Pittsburgh. I really don't know if he's conducted in England including mattress beating? 8 8 still around or not. He was around in 1986, Do you recall any of that? 9 9 because I was in a grand rounds with him. A. I recall Gloyne publishing a report 10 10 But in any event, one of the of a hundred cases of asbestosis in 1934. I exhibits, which I have retrieved from a former 11 11 12 don't recall what he said about mattress making 12 case involving Mr. Walker is a letter between 13 in that report. 13 you and Dr. Enterline. Q. Do you recall any comments from 14 Do you have a recollection of that? 14 Dr. Merewether about the higher level of dust 15 15 A. No. produced by certain processes, such as mattress Q. Did you work with or assist, 16 16 beating, which was a unique process in England? 17 17 consult with in any way Dr. Enterline when he 18 A. I don't recall what Merewether said was doing some review for the AIA in 1976 --18 '76-'77 time frame? about mattress beating or mattress making, 19 19 20 either. I think he talked about it in at least 20 A. No. 21 one of his writings, but I don't remember what 21 O. Whatever he did or didn't do was 22 22 done without your participation; is that a fair he said. 23 Q. Okay. Had you seen Plaintiff's 23 statement? 24 Exhibit No. 824, which is the minutes, 24 A. Yes. September 16, 1959 board minutes of Johns 25 25 (Witness and counsel confer.) Page 114 Page 116 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD Manville before Mr. Walker had provided them to Q. Do you know what kind of plant the 2 2 products made at the facility? I thought -- I 3 vou? 3 think it was in California? 4 A. No. I'm just seeing this for the 4 5 5 A. Yes, it looks like an asbestos first time. 6 Q. Have you ever seen any other Johns 6 cement pipe plant. Manville board minutes? 7 7 Q. Are you familiar with that plant at all? 8 A. Yes. 8 9 O. I'm sorry? 9 A. (Perusing document.) Watson, I don't recall a plant in Watson in anything I've 10 A. I've seen other Johns Manville 10 read about Johns Manville, so I don't know 11 board minutes. 11 12 Q. And do you remember what years they 12 exactly what plant this is. might have been? MR. WALKER: I'm going to 13 13 A. 1933, where they paid off that give you something here in a minute, 14 14 15 lawyer in New Jersey not to bring any more 15 Mr. Modesitt, but feel free to do something else while I look. 16 cases. 16 17 Q. And where did you see that? 17 MR. MODESITT: I'm just about A. I don't know, it's been around for 18 18 done. 19 19 30 years. MR. WALKER: Okay. 20 O. Was that in the Manville 20 BY MR. MODESITT: 21 21 depository, to your recollection, or not? Q. Just a couple of more questions, 22 A. I think I saw it before there was a 22 Dr. Castleman. Manville depository. 23 23 I know that you -- well, on other occasions you have actually kept track of the Q. Mr. Walker has also in this case 24 24 indicated an intention to use some limited 25 number of cases in which you've testified during

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

			30 (Pages 117 to 120)
	Page 117		Page 119
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	the year.	2	cases in the state of Illinois are a different
3	Have you done that in 2010?	3	type, a different subclass, I guess, of
4	A. Yes. I think I've done 21 trials	4	testimony that I give about the public health
5	this year.	5	and corporate history of asbestos.
6	Q. And how many depositions?	6	Q. Are the cases in are the
7	A. Not quite 50, I think, but the year	7	jurisdictions in and around central Illinois
8	is young.	8	where the claims have to do with conspiracy, is
9	Q. And I understand you testified	9	that the only place that you had given that type
10	yesterday in California?	10	of testimony?
11	A. Yes.	11	A. I think so. I think I've been in
12	Q. And that was in the Brady	12	other cases over the years where conspiracy was
13	(phonetic) case?	13	included as one of the issues, but my impression
14	A. Right.	14	is that because it wasn't really necessary to
15	Q. And you're testifying tomorrow up	15	prove conspiracy to recover whatever damages
16	here?	16	would have been recoverable anyway, that
17	A. I believe so.	17	plaintiffs generally decided to not bother with
18	Q. And what is the name of that case,	18	that.
19	please?	19	Q. And in the last two or three years,
20	A. Hmm. (Perusing document.)	20	have you provided that type of testimony
21		21	anywhere else other than Illinois?
22		22	A. No.
23	your testimony tomorrow on the general area of	23	MR. MODESITT: Thank you,
24		24	Doctor. I think that's all the
25	A. Yes. It's about the stuff I	25	questions I have at the moment.
	Page 118		Page 120
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	testified about in asbestos litigation.	2	MR. WALKER: Before you
3	Q. You recognize that the testimony	3	leave, I do want to call your
4	that you provide in Bloomington, Illinois in	4	attention to the fact that, either
5	cases where the allegations concern a civil	5	prior to in the course of direct, I
6	conspiracy is a different type of testimony	6	might bring to Dr. Castleman's
7	generally than the normal state of the art; is	7	attention Plaintiff's Exhibit
8	that I'll rephrase.	8	No. 824-A, which is a copy of
9	MR. WALKER: Hopefully it's	9	Manville's 1959 annual report.
10	all truthful, I mean	10	And at the beginning on
11	MR. MODESITT: That wasn't	11	page 9 of that, there's sort of a
12	even part of my question.	12	discussion of asbestos-containing
13	MR. WALKER: No doubt	13	pipe. And in particular, on
14	diminished by skillful	14	page 10, there's a reference that
15	cross-examination, but I'm not on	15	Watson, California is one of the
16	the committee to agree that it's a	16	places where pipe is made.
17	different type.	17	And then on page well,
18	BY MR. MODESITT:	18	somewhere later there's a picture of
19	Q. Generally speaking, Dr. Castleman,	19	the board of directors with
20		20	Mr. Biggers in the left order.
21		21	MR. MODESITT: Thank you,
22		22	Mr. Walker. I appreciate you doing
23		23	that.
24	art and duty to warn?	24	May I ask, is that the same
25		25	number that you've always used on

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

			31 (Pages 121 to 124)
	Page 12	1	Page 123
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	that exhibit? Or do they because	2	A. 1929 was the first year that they
3	I know I have a whole group that	$\frac{1}{3}$	were sued. Mr. Johns may have died from
4	you've given me previously.	4	asbestosis in 1898, but I've never been able to
5	MR. WALKER: Yes. The	5	establish that. He had some kind of a lung
		$\frac{1}{6}$	problem when he died.
6	Manville annual reports were	7	Q. When should railroads have known
7	normally in the three-hundreds, but		=
8	I just paired 824 and 824-A together	8	the link between asbestos exposure and lung
9	because back in my sheet showing	9	cancer?
10	days, they just looked so good as a	10	A. Oh, I think that the railroad
11	pair, probably a perversion that I	11	doctors probably should have known about that by
12	wouldn't want to	12	the end of the 1940s. They were railroads
13	MR. MODESITT: But it's part	13	had a very large presence in the field of
14	of the file that you have tendered	14	industrial medicine. They were clearly aware of
15	on other occasions, right?	15	the Industrial Hygiene Foundation's annual
16	MR. WALKER: Yes, I have	16	meetings and apparently some of them attended.
17	distributed that 1959 annual report	17	Q. You're aware of and you talked
18	on other occasions.	18	in the past about references to the medical and
19	MR. MODESITT: Just for the	19	surgical meetings' minutes, and you specifically
20	record, if you intend to use that	20	refer in response to the question I just asked
21	box again, you don't I have the	21	you to Dr. Ochsner O-C-H-S-N-E-R in his
22	last one you gave me. You don't	22	1958 speech, do you recall that speech?
23	need to burn another tree, tear	23	A. Right. So by 1958, clearly the
24	another tree down.	24	railroads, at their annual meeting of company
25	That's all I have.	25	doctors, are talking about lung cancer as an
	Page 12	2	Page 124
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	EXAMINATION	$\frac{1}{2}$	asbestos disease.
3	BY MR. KURZ:	$\frac{2}{3}$	I was just wondering if, you know,
4	Q. Dr. Castleman, a few questions for	4	the should-have-known goes back before that, but
5	you about the railroad.	5	in terms of actual knowledge you've got 1958 as
6	A. Go ahead.	6	a nice clear date.
		0	Q. And then when should railroads have
7	Q. Your book devotes a portion to the	/ 0	
8	railroads.	8	known about asbestos and mesothelioma, the link
9	Have you done any recent research	9	between those two?
10	or reviewed any new documents in the past, let's	10	A. Well, I just think they should have
11		11	been paying attention to what was in the medical
	say, two years regarding the railroad's		T 4 .404 T4 T T T
12	historical knowledge, that type of thing?	12	and scientific literature about asbestos and
13	historical knowledge, that type of thing? <b>A.</b> No.	12 13	should have picked up something by the 1960s
13 14	historical knowledge, that type of thing?  A. No.  Q. Doctor, what is your opinion as to	12 13 14	should have picked up something by the 1960s about mesothelioma.
13 14 15	historical knowledge, that type of thing?  A. No.  Q. Doctor, what is your opinion as to when and this is United States, when the	12 13 14 15	should have picked up something by the 1960s about mesothelioma.  Q. When was the first study in the
13 14 15 16	historical knowledge, that type of thing?  A. No.  Q. Doctor, what is your opinion as to when and this is United States, when the railroads should have known that asbestos	12 13 14 15 16	should have picked up something by the 1960s about mesothelioma.  Q. When was the first study in the literature confirming mesothelioma in railroad
13 14 15 16 17	historical knowledge, that type of thing?  A. No.  Q. Doctor, what is your opinion as to when and this is United States, when the railroads should have known that asbestos exposure could cause the disease asbestosis,	12 13 14 15 16 17	should have picked up something by the 1960s about mesothelioma.  Q. When was the first study in the literature confirming mesothelioma in railroad workers?
13 14 15 16 17 18	historical knowledge, that type of thing?  A. No.  Q. Doctor, what is your opinion as to when and this is United States, when the railroads should have known that asbestos exposure could cause the disease asbestosis, what decade or time frame?	12 13 14 15 16	should have picked up something by the 1960s about mesothelioma.  Q. When was the first study in the literature confirming mesothelioma in railroad
13 14 15 16 17	historical knowledge, that type of thing?  A. No.  Q. Doctor, what is your opinion as to when and this is United States, when the railroads should have known that asbestos exposure could cause the disease asbestosis,	12 13 14 15 16 17	should have picked up something by the 1960s about mesothelioma.  Q. When was the first study in the literature confirming mesothelioma in railroad workers?  A. I think one of the first cases was in Wagner's report in 1960; a guy was
13 14 15 16 17 18	historical knowledge, that type of thing?  A. No.  Q. Doctor, what is your opinion as to when and this is United States, when the railroads should have known that asbestos exposure could cause the disease asbestosis, what decade or time frame?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	should have picked up something by the 1960s about mesothelioma.  Q. When was the first study in the literature confirming mesothelioma in railroad workers?  A. I think one of the first cases was
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	historical knowledge, that type of thing?  A. No.  Q. Doctor, what is your opinion as to when and this is United States, when the railroads should have known that asbestos exposure could cause the disease asbestosis, what decade or time frame?  A. 1930s.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	should have picked up something by the 1960s about mesothelioma.  Q. When was the first study in the literature confirming mesothelioma in railroad workers?  A. I think one of the first cases was in Wagner's report in 1960; a guy was
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	historical knowledge, that type of thing?  A. No.  Q. Doctor, what is your opinion as to when and this is United States, when the railroads should have known that asbestos exposure could cause the disease asbestosis, what decade or time frame?  A. 1930s.  Q. And that's based upon your review of what document or documents?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	should have picked up something by the 1960s about mesothelioma.  Q. When was the first study in the literature confirming mesothelioma in railroad workers?  A. I think one of the first cases was in Wagner's report in 1960; a guy was maintaining insulation on steam locomotives.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	historical knowledge, that type of thing?  A. No.  Q. Doctor, what is your opinion as to when and this is United States, when the railroads should have known that asbestos exposure could cause the disease asbestosis, what decade or time frame?  A. 1930s.  Q. And that's based upon your review	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	should have picked up something by the 1960s about mesothelioma.  Q. When was the first study in the literature confirming mesothelioma in railroad workers?  A. I think one of the first cases was in Wagner's report in 1960; a guy was maintaining insulation on steam locomotives.  There was also a report called
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	historical knowledge, that type of thing?  A. No.  Q. Doctor, what is your opinion as to when and this is United States, when the railroads should have known that asbestos exposure could cause the disease asbestosis, what decade or time frame?  A. 1930s.  Q. And that's based upon your review of what document or documents?  A. The documents cited in my book.  Q. Johns Manville, when would Johns	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	should have picked up something by the 1960s about mesothelioma.  Q. When was the first study in the literature confirming mesothelioma in railroad workers?  A. I think one of the first cases was in Wagner's report in 1960; a guy was maintaining insulation on steam locomotives.  There was also a report called "Asbestosis in Rhodesia" that was published in the Rhodesian railroads. It was I don't
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	historical knowledge, that type of thing?  A. No.  Q. Doctor, what is your opinion as to when and this is United States, when the railroads should have known that asbestos exposure could cause the disease asbestosis, what decade or time frame?  A. 1930s.  Q. And that's based upon your review of what document or documents?  A. The documents cited in my book.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	should have picked up something by the 1960s about mesothelioma.  Q. When was the first study in the literature confirming mesothelioma in railroad workers?  A. I think one of the first cases was in Wagner's report in 1960; a guy was maintaining insulation on steam locomotives.  There was also a report called "Asbestosis in Rhodesia" that was published in

Page 125 Page 127 1 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD 2 Q. Doctor, you're welcome to look, but 2 the survey did not appear to have changed their work practices. And as I say, I mean, in the my question was inartful. 3 3 Baltimore study, the notices were sent to 4 4 I really meant to ask you 5 United States literature, as opposed to the 5 management, the workers may never have been South African stuff that you have. told. 6 6 7 A. Well... Okay, well, then, I can't 7 Q. And in the DC study, was the add anything, I think, to what I've already warnings given directly by the company to the 8 8 workers, or was it given by outside people such 9 9 said. 10 Q. Would you agree that the first 10 as yourself? United States study would have been Mancuso in 11 11 A. As I understand it, the public 12 the '80s? 12 health workers who were taking these brochures around were approaching the mechanics themselves 13 A. I think that was the first time 13 at these workplaces. 14 14 that there were medical reports of mesothelioma Q. Did you draw any conclusions that 15 in railroad workers in the United States 15 it might be more effective in terms of the published. I don't think there were earlier --16 16 effectiveness of a warning if it comes directly 17 there may have been earlier cases, but if there 17 18 were, I can't recall where they would have been 18 from the employer itself, as opposed to an 19 outside party? 19 published or when. 20 20 Q. All right. Doctor, you talk about A. It didn't occur to me that the in your book you're involved in a 1975 study 21 employers would do anything absent outside 21 regarding brake workers and how they respond to 22 22 pressure. warnings; is that right? 23 23 Q. Doctor, you've been asked about the A. Right. 24 Kaylo advertisement from -- I forget the year --24 1955, wherein its referenced as being nontoxic? 25 Q. DC, Baltimore area, you went out 25 Page 126 Page 128 Castleman, ScD 1 1 Castleman, ScD and discussed with some of the workers the 2 A. '56. 2 3 hazards of asbestos, lung cancer risk, death, 3 O. '56. Excuse me. that type of thing; is that right? 4 4 Would you agree that a customer or a user of Kaylo could have read that ad and 5 5 A. Yes. Q. How many workers did you tell? concluded that the product was safe to use? 6 6 A. Well, in Baltimore, we notified the 7 7 A. Yes. 8 managements. And in Washington, the public 8 Q. And would that apply to someone health officials handed leaflets directly to such as a railroad that may have utilized that 9 9 10 10 product, at least based upon that advertisement mechanics. 11 11 O. And then you waited a few months alone? 12 and went back to see how well the workers were, 12 A. Right. If they read that advertisement, didn't know anything else about 13 I guess, heeding the warnings that were given; 13 asbestos being hazardous, that would have been a 14 is that right? 14 15 A. At Selikoff's recommendation, we 15 reasonable conclusion. sent college students around to do follow-up 16 Q. In this matter, Mr. Walker has 16 surveys and just to get some basic information 17 17 indicated a desire to utilize the testimony of a that we could publish about the response to our 18 gentleman named Robert Winstead. 18 19 notification effort. 19 Have you ever read or reviewed Mr. Winstead's testimony in the past? 20 Q. And is it fair to say that 20 unfortunately a substantial number of those 21 A. No, I don't think I have. 21 22 workers were not heeding the warnings that had 22 Q. That name is not familiar to you? 23 been given? 23 A. No. 24 24 A. Well, in both studies we found that Q. And so as to what he may have said some people took our advice, but the majority in 25 or testified to, you're not aware?

Page 129 Page 131 Castleman, ScD 1 Castleman, ScD 1 2 A. That's right. 2 and 1950s; is that a fair statement? Q. One thing I would ask you about 3 3 A. Yes. Not the only use, but it was him -- and Mr. Walker will correct me if I'm 4 4 a major use. 5 wrong, but one of the pieces of testimony he 5 Q. Right. And of course their gave is that he was a railroad worker working consumption or use of asbestos products would 6 6 7 next to Unarco, at the yards there that you're 7 have dropped off substantially once they moved familiar with. And he observed individuals come 8 8 to diesel locomotives: is that also a fair 9 out of Unarco in dust emanating out of the 9 statement? 10 Unarco plants, specifically by the dumpster. 10 A. Right. And one of the things that the railroad workers 11 11 Q. And if, in fact, you'll assume for did, they complained about it, and one of their 12 12 me that GM&O was the first railroad to supervisors went over to Unarco to complain. 13 13 dieselize, you wouldn't criticize them for being the company to essentially substitute out an My only question to you is: Is 14 14 that a good practice on the part of the railroad 15 15 asbestos-containing locomotive with a diesel to go and complain to Unarco about this dust locomotive? 16 16 17 condition? 17 A. I'm sure that's not why they did 18 it, but no, I wouldn't criticize them. A. It sounds like it. But I mean, 18 19 this is all so vague, the way you're describing 19 Q. You've seen the General Managers it. What was the nature of the complaint? What 20 20 documents, of course, you refer to them in your did they -- what knowledge did they express in 21 21 book? 22 their -- in making their complaint? I mean, was 22 A. Right. it just a nuisance they were talking about, or 23 23 Q. Is that the term you use, "General was it something more serious that they were Managers"? Some people called them home 24 24 raising with the people at Unarco? The question 25 25 documents? Page 130 Page 132 Castleman, ScD 1 1 Castleman, ScD A. I think I know what you're talking is very vague. I just want to be cautious in 2 2 how I try to answer it. 3 3 about. Q. I understand. 4 4 Q. We'll use "General Managers," if that's all right, Dr. Castleman. 5 The GM&O Railroad, in the past 5 you've indicated you don't know what those A few questions about that. 6 6 Do you have any information that 7 initials stand for. 7 8 Do you today? 8 GM&O Railroad was a member of the General 9 A. Initials for what? 9 Managers Association? 10 10 O. The GM&O Railroad. A. Not unless it's reflected in the A. Right. I don't --11 11 documents, I don't recall whether they were 12 Q. Those initials, do you know what 12 listed or not. 13 that stands for, sir? 13 Q. Do you have any information of A. No, I don't know now either. whether those documents, the occupational 14 14 15 Q. You've been asked this in the past, 15 disease file, the General Managers documents, whatever you choose to call them, were ever but I understand -- strike that. 16 16 given to the GM&O? 17 Are you aware that GM&O was the 17 first railroad to be completely dieselized? By 18 18 A. I don't know. that I mean, steam locomotives retired and 19 Q. In the past, you've indicated 19 you've seen somewhere around 20 pages of the 20 diesel locomotives took over? 20 21 21 General Managers documents; is that about right? A. I don't know if that's true or not. 22 22 Q. If you assume for me -- well, first A. I think so. 23 23 of all, your book does indicate that the lagging Q. Do you believe you have any more or you just have the 15 to 20 pages? around steam locomotives is the primary usage of 24 24 asbestos by American railroads back in the 1940s 25 A. I don't recall if I have any more 25

			54 (1 ages 155 to 150)
	Page 133		Page 135
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	or not. I don't think so.	2	railroads at least believed that this law did
3	Q. Now, the General Managers documents	3	not apply to them or would not apply to them?
4	were authored in part in response to the new	4	A. Not that I can recall. It seems
5	Illinois law that was coming into play that you	5	like they were focused on dealing with it as
6	mentioned earlier today; is that right?	6	a a law that did apply to them.
7	MR. WALKER: Wait a minute.	7	Q. Do you recall any references in the
8	MR. KURZ: I can re-ask.	8	documents where they thought railroads
9	MR. WALKER: Yes. I don't	9	specifically thought that they were different
10	know who they are offered to.	10	than the textile industries, and so the law
11	MR. KURZ: It was a poor	11	would not apply to them?
12	question.	12	A. No.
13	BY MR. KURZ:	13	Q. Do you recall any discussion in the
14		14	documents that because of the size of railroad
14	Q. Was one of the topics discussed in	15	
15	the Occupational Disease Act and you'll agree		shops in general, that being that they were
16	with me, there are a lot of different topics	16	considerably larger than most manufacturing
17	talked about in there, a lot of different	17	facilities, that the restrictions that would be
18	diseases and conditions?	18	put in place by the statute should be lessened
19	MR. WALKER: In the OD Act or	19	as to the railroad?
20	in the General Managers documents?	20	A. I don't recall anything about that.
21	MR. KURZ: General Managers	21	Q. You discuss in your just a few
20 21 22 23 24	documents. Excuse me.	22	more questions, Doctor in your book, the nine
23	MR. WALKER: I'm tending to	23	recommendations that are both part of the
24	object to this question because I	24	General Managers disease file or occupational
25	would prefer one that started and	25	disease file, excuse me, and the AAR documents,
	Page 134		Page 136
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	stopped.	2	correct, those nine steps?
3	Mark, you're nothing but a	3	A. Well, I have quoted verbatim the
4	gentleman, but this one just has got	4	nine-point program that was developed in the
5	my indicators buzzing so loud that	5	General Managers Association documents.
6	even with my level of hearing, I can	6	Q. And one of the things stated in
7	hear it.	7	those nine recommendations, I believe it's the
8	MR. KURZ: Let me back up and	8	last one, talks about publication of those
9	stick to one question.	9	warnings and of the hazards of asbestos, is that
10	BY MR. KURZ:	10	right, because of the capacity of claims, the
11	Q. Do you agree that the General	11	capacity of potential for claims?
12	Managers documents discuss a variety of	12	A. Well, as I recall it, it said
13	different diseases?	13	something about keeping this let me just look
14	A. Well, they certainly discuss	14	at it. (Perusing document.)
15	additional things to asbestosis.	15	All right. This is what it says:
16	Q. That's all I was asking. All	16	"It is suggested that the above recommendations
17	right.	17	be communicated directly to the foreman
18	And do the documents tend to	18	involved. Publicity on the above might suggest
19	reflect that's a terrible phrase.	19	a making of claims."
20	Do the documents discuss this new	20	Q. My question to you, then, about
$\frac{20}{21}$	law coming into place in Illinois?	21	that statement: Have you seen any documents in
21 22 23	A. Yes.	22	the occupational disease file that would reflect
23	Q. And do you as you read through	23	that the railroad's concern wasn't well-based
23 24	the documents, is there anything in those	24	claims; that is, a person truly with that
24 25	documents that indicates to you that the	25	disease process, but was rather as to the filing
∠J	documents that materies to you that the	4J	disease process, our was ramer as to the filling

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

1			35 (Pages 13 / to 140)
	Page 137		Page 139
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
2	of false claims?	2	Railroad Trade Association Library in Washington
3	A. I don't think you can make	3	on L Street from the mid-'60s.
4	inferences based on I certainly don't think	4	Whatever I have is available from
5	you can make inferences of morality on the part	5	Albert Donnay in the railroad files. And there
6	of the companies based on that statement.	6	hasn't been anything added in 20 years.
7	Q. I'm sorry. You certainly don't	7	Q. That was my next question. Very
8	think, is that what you said?	8	good.
9	A. Yes. I mean, I don't think that	9	MR. KURZ: All right, Doctor,
10	there's nothing in here that, you know, we're	10	those are the questions I have.
	perfectly willing to pay off legitimate claims,	11	Thank you for your time.
11 12	we're just worried about false claims here. It	12	MR. WALKER: Are we done?
13	seems like they're	13	Wonderful.
$1\Delta$	Q. Let me be clear.	14	MR. FISCHER: No, no. I have
14 15	A. They're speaking about the making	15	less than five minutes of follow-up
16	about the making of claims as a single entity of	16	on some questions that the railroad
17	concern, and it's consistent with other	17	asked.
18	corporate documents I've seen from the 1930s	18	THE WITNESS: Let me take a
19	expressing apprehensiveness about claims in	19	break.
20	general.	20	(Whereupon at 2:57 p.m., a
20 21 22 23 24	Q. Doctor, I did not mean to infer	21	recess was taken until 3:01 p.m.)
22	that those nine recommendations is where I'm	22	(The deposition resumed with
23	drawing that inference.	23	all parties present.)
24	I'm asking if you've seen any other	24	un parties present.)
25	documents contained within the 400 and whatever	25	
	Page 138		Page 140
1	Castleman, ScD	1	Castleman, ScD
1 2	number pages that comprise the occupational	2	BARRY I. CASTLEMAN, ScD, resumed and
3	disease file that would lead to that conclusion.	3	testified further as follows:
4	A. I just don't know, you know, how	4	EXAMINATION
5	you can infer anything from this statement based	5	BY MR. FISCHER: (Continued.)
6	on other documents in the file. I just can't	6	Q. You were asked some questions about
7	think of anything else in the file that, so to	7	1956 advertisements that described Kaylo as
8	speak, picks up where this leaves off and	8	nontoxic, and you were asked some questions by
9	explains it in more detail. If there is such a	9	Mr. Kurz about what a customer could have
10	document, I would love to see it.	10	concluded, right?
11	Q. And finally, have you the AAR	11	A. Yes.
12	documents, you realize they run from the '20s	12	Q. Have you ever spoken to anyone that
13	through the '60s, I believe.	13	saw that advertisement at any time prior to
14	What is the what do you have?	14	1970?
15	How much do you possess, if you know?	15	A. No.
16	A. You mean in my collection of	16	Q. Have you ever spoken to anyone who
17	documents?	17	was not a lawyer who ever saw that
18	Q. In your collection of documents,	18	advertisement?
19	sir.	19	A. Well, I've never seen any I've
20	A. Well, I collect I have excerpts	20	never talked to anybody who ever saw the
21	from the ones that talk about asbestos from	21	advertisements in Petroleum Engineer or that
22	1932, I think, until 1958 that we got at the	22	flier that you're probably referring to. I
23	Interstate Commerce Commission Library.	23	mean, these are things that were published in
22 23 24	And then there were a few others	24	the 1950s, and I haven't talked to anybody who
25	that were found, some from the railroads, the	25	read either of those things.
	mat were found, some from the railroads, the	<i>43</i>	reau cities of those things.

36 (Pages 141 to 144)

			` E '
	Page 141		Page 143
1	Castleman, ScD	1	
2	Q. And I'm happy to do them together.	2	CAPTION
3	The Petroleum Engineer article	3	
4	you're referring to is from 1952, right?	4	The Deposition of BARRY CASTLEMAN, ScD, taken in the
5	A. Right.	5	matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out
6	Q. And then there's the advertisement	6	on the title page hereof.
7	from 1956?	7	
8	A. Right.	8	It was requested that the deposition be taken by the
9	Q. And you're not aware of anyone who	9	reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form.
10	ever saw either of those things	10	
11	contemporaneously, right?	11	The Deponent will read and sign the transcript of said
12	A. Right. I mean, these things were	12	deposition.
13	all published by the time I was ten years old.	13	
14	Q. And you've never spoken or seen	14	
15	let me put it into two separate questions.	15	
16	You've never spoken to any customer	16	
17	of Owens-Illinois or Owens Corning, for that	17	
18	matter, who saw that advertisement?	18	
19	A. That's right.	19	
20	Q. And you never spoke to anybody	20	
21	about what conclusions they drew from either of	21	
22	those two uses of the word "nontoxic"?	22	
23	A. True.	23	
24	MR. FISCHER: Those are all	24	
25	the questions I have. Thank you.	25	
	Page 142		Page 144
1	Castleman, ScD	1	
2	MR. MODESITT: I think we're	2	CERTIFICATE
3	done.	3	
4	(Whereupon at 3:03 p.m., the	4	STATE OF:
5	deposition was concluded.)	5	COUNTY/CITY OF:
6	· ·	6	
7	· ·	7	Before me, this day, personally appeared
8	I	8	BARRY CASTLEMAN, ScD, who, being duly sworn, states that
9	I	9	the foregoing transcript of his Deposition, taken in the
10	I	10	matter, on this date, and at the time and place set out
11	!	11	on the title page hereof, constitutes a true and accurate transcript of said deposition.
12	!	12	accurate transcript of said deposition.
13	I	14	
14	I	15	BARRY CASTLEMAN, ScD
15 16	I	16	SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this day of
16 17	!	17	, 2010, in the jurisdiction aforesaid.
17 18	!	18	, 2010, in the jurisdiction diolessific.
10 19	I	19	
20	I	20	My Commission Expires Notary Public
20 21	!	21	Total Land
22	!	22	
23	I	23	J
24	l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	24	
25	· ·	25	

37 (Pages 145 to 147)

				37 (Pages 145 to 147)
		Page 145		Page 147
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET  RE: FILE NO. 10-L-38 CASE CAPTION: JANET SHIPLEY and JAMES SHIPLEY vs. PNEUMO ABEX CORPORATION, et al. DEPONENT: BARRY CASTLEMAN, ScD DEPOSITION DATE: SEPTEMBER 28, 2010  To the reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request for the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and the appropriate Certificate and authorize you to attach both to the original transcript.		1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE  STATE OF NEW YORK )         ) ss.  COUNTY OF KINGS )  I, Androniki Samaras, a Shorthand (Stenotype) Reporter and Notary Public for the State of New York, do hereby certify that the foregoing Deposition, of the witness, BARRY CASTLEMAN, ScD, taken at the time and place aforesaid, is a true and correct transcription of said Deposition.  I further certify that I am neither counsel for nor related to any party to said action, nor in any wise interested in the result or outcome thereof.  IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 8th day of October, 2010.
22 23 24	SIGNATURE: DATE: D		23 24	
25		Page 146	25	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	INDEX Witness: BARRY CASTLEMAN, ScD Page Examination by MR. FISCHER Examination by MR. MODESITT Examination by MR. KURZ Examination by MR. FISCHER	3 73 122 140		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	NOEXHIBITS			